arXiv:1410.2322v1 [math.GR] 9 Oct 2014

THIRD COHOMOLOGY FOR FROBENIUS KERNELS AND RELATED STRUCTURES

CHRISTOPHER P. BENDEL, DANIEL K. NAKANO, AND CORNELIUS PILLEN

Abstract. Let G be a simple simply connected group scheme defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Moreover, let B be a Borel subgroup of G and U be the unipotent radical of B. In this paper the authors compute the third cohomology group for B and its Frobenius kernels, B_r, with coefficients in a one-dimensional representation. These computations hold with relatively mild restrictions on the characteristic of the field. As a consequence of our calculations, the third ordinary Lie algebra cohomology group for $\mathfrak{u} = \mathrm{Lie}\, U$ with coefficients in k is determined, as well as the third G_r-cohomology with coefficients in the induced modules $H^0(\lambda)$.

1. Introduction

1.1. Over the last 40 years, one of the central questions in the representation theory of algebraic groups that remains open is to understand the structure and vanishing behavior of the line bundle cohomology $H^n(\lambda) := \mathcal{H}^n(G/B, \mathcal{L}(\lambda))$ for $n \geq 0$ where G/B is the flag variety and $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is a line bundle over G/B. For $n = 0$, the characters are given by Weyl's character formula, but the composition factors are not known when $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Andersen computed the socle of $H^1(\lambda)$, but more general results other than calculations for low rank examples remain elusive. A fundamental computation which is related to understanding line bundle cohomology is the calculation of rational B-cohomology, $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(B, \lambda)$, where B is a Borel subgroup for the reductive group G and $\lambda \in X(T)$ is a weight regarded as a one-dimensional representation of B.

In [BNP2] the authors calculated $\mathrm{H}^2(B, \lambda)$ for $p \geq 3$. Our methods involved weaving other relevant H^2-calculations for Frobenius kernels and Lie algebras into the picture. These fundamental cohomology groups and the status of their computation are presented in the following table.[1] The references are [Kos], [FP2], [PT], [AJ], [KLT], [Jan2], [BNP1, BNP2], [AR], [W], [UGA].

Date: October 10, 2014.
Research of the first author was supported in part by a Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant #317062.
Research of the second author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1402271.
Research of the third author was supported in part by a Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant #245236.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B50, 17B56, 20G05, 20G10.

[1]See the following section for the notation.

	Cohomology Group	Known Results
(1)	$\mathrm{H}^n(\mathfrak{u}, k)$	$[p \geq h-1,\ n \geq 0]$; $[p \geq 2,\ n = 0, 1]$; $[p \geq 3.\ n = 2]$
(2)	$\mathrm{H}^n(U_1, k)$	$[p \geq h,\ n \geq 0]$; $[p \geq 2,\ n = 0, 1, 2]$
(3)	$\mathrm{H}^n(B_1, \lambda)$	$[p \geq h,\ n \geq 0]$; $[p \geq 2,\ n = 0, 1, 2]$
(4)	$\mathrm{H}^n(B_r, \lambda)$	$[p \geq 2,\ n = 0, 1, 2]$
(5)	$\mathrm{H}^n(B, \lambda)$	$[p \geq 2,\ n = 0, 1, 2]$; $[p > h,\ n = 3]$
(6)	$\mathrm{H}^n(G_r, H^0(\lambda))$	$[p > h,\ r = 1,\ n \geq 0]$; $[p \geq 2,\ r \geq 1,\ n = 0, 1, 2]$

Recently, for SL_2, Ngo [N] has computed (4) and (6) for all primes and all n.

The calculation of the aforementioned cohomology groups is formidable and of general interest. For example, the complete calculation of (1) and (2) would yield a general version (for *all* characteristics) of the celebrated theorem of Kostant [Kos]. For (6) (when $\lambda = 0$), the computation of $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(G_r, k)$ for $r \geq 2$ presents a major challenge and is geometrically related to the variety of commuting r-tuples of p-nilpotent matrices [SFB1, SFB2]. The goal in this paper is to expand the computations of (1)-(6) to the case when $n = 3$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide a preliminary analysis on possible weights that can occur in the ordinary Lie algebra cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$. At the beginning of Section 3, further results are provided on constraints involving root sums. These results are crucial throughout the paper in considering differentials in various spectral sequences. Later in this section, we provide a realization of $\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k)$ via the ordinary cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^j(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ for $j = 1, 3$.

At the beginning of Section 4, we introduce assumptions on the characteristic of the field that will be used during the remainder of the paper. Several key ideas from Andersen [And] involving the B-cohomology are then employed to give an explicit description of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$. The section concludes by establishing another crucial calculation of ordinary Lie algebra cohomology, namely $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$.

In Section 5, with these prior computations and some arguments using spectral sequences, we determine $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in X(T)$. As a consequence of these results, the B-cohomology groups, $\mathrm{H}^n(B, \lambda)$, for $\lambda \in X(T)$ are determined which extends the prior work of Andersen and Rian [AR]. Finally, in Section 6, we give a description of $\mathrm{H}^3(G_r, H^0(\lambda))^{(-r)}$ and demonstrate that these cohomology groups (as G-modules) admit a good filtration. An application is provided at the end of the section, which uses the B-cohomology calculations to give linear bounds on the third cohomology of finite Chevalley groups. An Appendix at the end of hte paper contains the computations of certain weights that appear in the calculations of B_1-cohomology groups.

1.2. **Notation:** Throughout this paper, we will follow the basic conventions provided in [Jan1].

(1) k: an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.
(2) G: a simple, simply connected algebraic group which is defined and split over the finite prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ of characteristic p. The assumption that G is simple (equivalently, its root system Φ is irreducible) is largely one of convenience. All the results of this paper extend easily to the semisimple, simply connected case.

(3) $F : G \to G$: the Frobenius morphism.
(4) $G_r = \ker F^r$: the rth Frobenius kernel of G.
(5) $G^{(r)}$: the rth Frobenius twist of G; $G^{(r)} \cong G/G_r$.
(6) $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$: the associated finite Chevalley group where $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the field with $q = p^r$ elements.
(7) T: a maximal split torus in G.
(8) Φ: the corresponding (irreducible) root system associated to (G, T). When referring to short and long roots, when a root system has roots of only one length, all roots shall be considered as both short and long.
(9) $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$: the set of simple roots. We will adhere to the ordering of the simple roots as given in [Jan2] (following Bourbaki). In particular, for type B_n, α_n denotes the unique short simple root and for type C_n, α_n denotes the unique long simple root.
(10) $\Phi^{\pm}$: the positive (respectively, negative) roots.
(11) α_0: the maximal short root.
(12) B: a Borel subgroup containing T corresponding to the negative roots.
(13) U: the unipotent radical of B.
(14) $\mathbb{E}$: the Euclidean space spanned by Φ with inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ normalized so that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$ any short root.
(15) $\alpha^\vee = 2\alpha/\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$: the coroot of $\alpha \in \Phi$.
(16) ρ: the Weyl weight defined by $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$.
(17) h: the Coxeter number of Φ, given by $h = \langle \rho, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle + 1$.
(18) $W = \langle s_{\alpha_1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_n} \rangle \subset \mathbb{O}(\mathbb{E})$: the Weyl group of Φ, generated by the orthogonal reflections s_{α_i}, $1 \le i \le n$. For $\alpha \in \Phi$, $s_\alpha : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}$ is the orthogonal reflection in the hyperplane $H_\alpha \subset \mathbb{E}$ of vectors orthogonal to α.
(19) $\ell : W \to \mathbb{Z}$: the usual length function on W; for $w \in w$, $\ell(w)$ is the minimum number of simple reflections required to express w as a product of simple reflections.
(20) $X(T) = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_n$: the weight lattice, where the fundamental dominant weights $\omega_i \in \mathbb{E}$ are defined by $\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, $1 \le i, j \le n$.
(21) $X(T)_+ = \mathbb{N}\omega_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{N}\omega_n$: the cone of dominant weights.
(22) $X_r(T) = \{\lambda \in X(T)_+ : 0 \le \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < p^r,\ \forall \alpha \in \Pi\}$: the set of p^r-restricted dominant weights.
(23) $M^{(s)}$: the module obtained by composing the underlying representation for a rational G-module M with F^s.
(24) $H^0(\lambda) := \operatorname{ind}_B^G \lambda$, $\lambda \in X(T)_+$: the induced module whose character is provided by Weyl's character formula.

2. Observations on $\mathfrak{u}$-cohomology

2.1. We begin by recalling the definition of the ordinary Lie algebra cohomology of a Lie algebra L over k. The ordinary Lie algebra cohomology $\mathrm{H}^i(L, k)$ may be computed as the cohomology of the complex

$$k \xrightarrow{d_0} L^* \xrightarrow{d_1} \Lambda^2(L)^* \xrightarrow{d_2} \Lambda^3(L)^* \to \cdots .$$

The differentials are given as follows: $d_0 = 0$ and $d_1 : L^* \to \Lambda^2(L)^*$ with

$$(d_1\phi)(x \wedge y) = -\phi([x, y])$$

where $\phi \in L^*$ and $x, y \in L$. For the higher differentials, we identify $\Lambda^n(L)^* \cong \Lambda^n(L^*)$. Then the differentials are determined by the following product rule (see [Jan1, I.9.17]):

$$d_{i+j}(\phi \wedge \psi) = d_i(\phi) \wedge \psi + (-1)^i \phi \wedge d_j(\psi).$$

Using this formula, one can use induction to obtain the following formula.

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Consider $d_n : \Lambda^n(L^*) \to \Lambda^{n+1}(L^*)$. Let*

$$x = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i \in \Lambda^n(L^*).$$

Then

$$d_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j+1} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i^j$$

where $\phi_i^j = \begin{cases} \phi_i & \text{if } i \neq j \\ d_1(\phi_i) & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$

In other words,

$$d_n(x) = d_1(\phi_1) \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_n - \phi_1 \wedge d_1(\phi_2) \wedge \phi_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_n + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_{n-1} \wedge d_1(\phi_n).$$

For the remainder of the paper we will be primarily interested in the ordinary Lie algebra cohomology of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u} = \mathrm{Lie}(U)$ where U is the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup of G.

2.2. **First cohomology of $\mathfrak{u}$:** Now let us consider the case when $L = \mathfrak{u} = \mathrm{Lie}\, U$. A basis for $\mathfrak{u}$ is given by a basis of negative root vectors $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi^-\}$. Let $\{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi^+\}$ be the dual basis in $\mathfrak{u}^*$ with $\phi_\alpha(x_\beta) = \delta_{-\alpha,\beta}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ and $\beta \in \Phi^-$. It is well known that the first cohomology (for any Lie algebra) is

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k) = \ker d_1 = (\mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}])^*.$$

For large primes, the simple roots give a basis for $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k)$. Specifically, we recall the following result of Jantzen [Jan2].

Proposition 2.2.1. *Assume $p \geq 3$.*

(a) *If $p = 3$, assume further that Φ is not of type G_2. Then a T-basis for $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ is $\{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in \Pi\}$.*
(b) *If $p = 3$ and Φ is of type G_2, then a T-basis for $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ is $\{\phi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_2}, \phi_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\}$.*

2.3. **Second cohomology of $\mathfrak{u}$:** For $p \geq 3$, the second cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ were computed by the authors in [BNP2, Thm. 4.4]. We remind the reader of the results here.

Theorem 2.3.1. *Let $p \geq 3$ and $\pi = \{-w \cdot 0 \ : \ w \in W,\ l(w) = 2\}$. As a T-module*

$$\mathrm{H}^2(\mathfrak{u}, k) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \pi \cup \pi'} \lambda$$

where π' is given below. Moreover, if $\lambda = -w \cdot 0$ with $w = s_\alpha s_\beta$, then the corresponding cohomology class is represented by $\phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_{-\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha + \beta}$.

(a) *$p > 3$ or Φ is of type A_n, $B_2 = C_2$, D_n, or E_n: $\pi' = \emptyset$.*

(b) *$p = 3$ and Φ is of type B_n, $n \geq 3$: $\pi' = \{\alpha_{n-2} + 2\alpha_{n-1} + 3\alpha_n\}$ corresponding to the cohomology class*

$$\phi_{\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-2}+2\alpha_{n-1}+2\alpha_n} - \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-2}+\alpha_{n-1}+2\alpha_n} + \phi_{\alpha_{n-2}+\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+2\alpha_n}.$$

(c) *$p = 3$ and Φ is of type C_n, $n \geq 3$: $\pi' = \{\alpha_{n-2} + 3\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n\}$ corresponding to the cohomology class*

$$\phi_{\alpha_{n-1}} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-2}+2\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n} - \phi_{\alpha_{n-2}+\alpha_{n-1}} \wedge \phi_{2\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n}.$$

(d) *$p = 3$ and Φ is of type F_4: $\pi' = \{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3, \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4\}$ corresponding to the cohomology classes*

$$\phi_{\alpha_3} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} - \phi_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} + \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3},$$

$$\phi_{\alpha_3} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4} - \phi_{\alpha_3+\alpha_4} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}.$$

(e) *$p = 3$ and Φ is of type G_2: $\pi' = \{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 6\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 4\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2\}$ corresponding to the cohomology classes*

$$\phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}, \phi_{\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}, \phi_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2},$$

$$\phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2} + \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2}.$$

2.4. **General results:** We present a general observation about weight spaces of $\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{u}^*)$.

Proposition 2.4.1. *(*[FP2, Prop. 2.2], [BNP2, Prop. 2.3]*) Let $w \in W$. Then*

$$\dim_k \Lambda^n(\mathfrak{u}^*)_{-w\cdot 0} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = l(w) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $x_w \in \Lambda^{l(w)}(\mathfrak{u}^*)$ be an element of weight $-w \cdot 0$. Then x_w represents a cohomology class in $\mathrm{H}^{l(w)}(\mathfrak{u}, k)$.

Over characteristic zero, $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ was computed by Kostant [Kos]. The cohomology classes in the preceding proposition in fact yield a T-basis. In prime characteristic, it is known for $p \geq h - 1$ by work in [FP2] [PT] and [UGA] that the formal characters of these cohomology groups are the same as in characteristic zero. Our goal will be to show that this holds for $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ when the prime p is not too small. See Theorem 4.3.1.

2.5. We first investigate the nature of weights $-w \cdot 0$. Let $w \in W$ have $\ell(w) = m$. Then w can be expressed in reduced form as $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m$ where $s_i = s_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \Pi$. Inductively, one obtains the following.

Proposition 2.5.1. *Given $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m$, let β_i denote the simple root corresponding to the simple reflection s_i. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} -w \cdot 0 &= -s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m(\beta_m) - s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{m-1}(\beta_{m-1}) - \cdots - s_1 s_2(\beta_2) - s_1(\beta_1) \\ &= -s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{m-1}(-\beta_m) - s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{m-2}(-\beta_{m-1}) - \cdots - s_1(-\beta_2) - (-\beta_1) \\ &= s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{m-1}(\beta_m) + s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{m-2}(\beta_{m-1}) + \cdots + s_1(\beta_2) + \beta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, each of the summands lies in Φ^+.

In particular, suppose that $w \in W$ has length 3. Write $w = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} -w \cdot 0 &= -s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0 \\ &= s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}(\beta_3) + s_{\beta_1}(\beta_2) + \beta_1 \\ &= [\beta_3 - \langle \beta_3, \beta_2^\vee \rangle \beta_2 - (\langle \beta_3, \beta_1^\vee \rangle - \langle \beta_3, \beta_2^\vee \rangle \langle \beta_2, \beta_1^\vee \rangle)\beta_1] + [\beta_2 - \langle \beta_2, \beta_1^\vee \rangle \beta_1] + [\beta_1] \end{aligned}$$

where the roots in brackets are each positive roots.

One can now conclude the following.

Corollary 2.5.2. *Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \Pi$ such that $w = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3}$ has length 3. Then $\phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{s_{\beta_1}(\beta_2)} \wedge \phi_{s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}(\beta_3)}$ has weight $-w \cdot 0$ and represents a cohomology class in $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$.*

Note for $w = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} \in W$ with $\ell(w) = 3$, $-w \cdot 0$ involves at most three simple roots. Indeed, if β_1, β_2, and β_3 are all distinct, then $-w \cdot 0 = i\beta_1 + j\beta_2 + \beta_3$ for some $i, j > 0$ (with a more precise formula determined as above). On the other hand, suppose the simple roots are not distinct. In order to be of length three, the only possibility is that $\beta_1 = \beta_3$ and β_2 must be adjacent to β_1. Then we have that $-w \cdot 0 = -s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_1} \cdot 0 = i\beta_1 + j\beta_2$ for some $i \geq j > 0$.

2.6. We now identify some limitations on which other wedge products $\phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta \wedge \phi_\gamma$ or linear combinations thereof can represent cohomology classes. Since the differentials are additive and preserve the action of T, of interest are linear combinations of wedge products that have the same weight. To avoid "trivial" linear combinations, we say that an expression $\sum c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta \wedge \phi_\gamma \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{u}^*)$ is in *reduced* form if a triple (α, β, γ) appears at most once and each $c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \neq 0$.

While we are interested particularly in degree 3, me make the following general observation which extends [BNP2, Prop. 2.4].

Proposition 2.6.1. *Let $x = \sum_j c_j \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}$ be an element in $\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{u}^*)$ in reduced form of weight γ for some $\gamma \in X(T)$. If $d_n(x) = 0$, then $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}) = 0$ for at least one $\sigma_{i,j}$ appearing in the sum.*

Proof. Fix an ordering on Φ^+ such that Φ^+ may be identified as $\Phi^+ = \{\gamma_i \ : \ 1 \leq i \leq |\Phi^+|\}$ with $\mathrm{ht}(\gamma_i) \leq \mathrm{ht}(\gamma_{i+1})$ for all i. By reordering if necessary, we may assume that in each wedge $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}$, we have $\sigma_{i,j} \prec_{\mathrm{ht}} \sigma_{i+1,j}$. In particular, $\mathrm{ht}(\sigma_{i,j}) \leq \mathrm{ht}(\sigma_{i+1,j})$.

Using the ordering given by $\prec_{\mathrm{ht}}$, order the wedge products found in x lexicographically based on $\bigwedge_{i=2}^n \phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}$. That is, we ignore the first element in the wedge when forming this

ordering. Note that, for each j, since $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i,j}$, $\sigma_{1,j}$ is determined if one knows γ and $\{\sigma_{i,j} \ : \ 2 \leq i \leq n\}$. In this lexicographical ordering, choose the wedge product that is maximal. For simplicity, we denote this simply by $x_{max} = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}$. By our construction, for all j, we have

$$\mathrm{ht}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}\right) := \sum_{i=2}^{n} \mathrm{ht}(\sigma_i) \geq \sum_{i=2}^{n} \mathrm{ht}(\sigma_{i,j}) = \mathrm{ht}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}\right).$$

Note that equality is possible. Although in such a case, the actual roots must differ in some manner since x is assumed to be in reduced form.

We claim that in this element x_{max}, we must have $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_1}) = 0$. By assumption, $d_n(x) = 0$ and the coefficient of x_{max} is non-trivial. From Lemma 2.1.1, we have

$$d_n(x_{max}) = d_1(\phi_{\sigma_1}) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}^{k}.$$

For each other summand of x, we get a similar expression for the result of applying d_n.

Consider the term $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_1}) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}$. Either this is zero or it must cancel with another term of the form

$$\phi_{\sigma_{1,j}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_{\sigma_{l-1,j}} \wedge d_1(\phi_{\sigma_{l,j}}) \wedge \phi_{\sigma_{l+1,j}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_{\sigma_{n,j}} \tag{2.6.1}$$

for some integers j, l. We show that the latter cannot happen.

Suppose on the contrary that it did. Then, for each $2 \leq i \leq n$, ϕ_{σ_i} would have to appear within a wedge as in (2.6.1). This could happen by involving zero, one, or two terms from the double wedge $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_{l,j}})$.

If it involved no components of $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_{l,j}})$, this would mean that

$$\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i} = \pm \bigwedge_{i \neq l} \phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}.$$

As noted above, by weight considerations, this would imply that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{l,j}$ and moreover that

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i} = \pm \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}$$

which contradicts the fact that x is in reduced form.

For the remaining cases, note that for any $\eta \in \Phi^+$, if $d_1(\phi_\eta) = \sum c_{\alpha,\beta} \phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta$, then $\mathrm{ht}(\alpha) < \mathrm{ht}(\eta)$ and $\mathrm{ht}(\beta) < \mathrm{ht}(\eta)$.

The second case would be that $\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}$ is (up to a sign) the wedge of all but one of the $\phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}$ (with $i \neq l$) along with a ϕ_α appearing in $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_{l,j}})$. Since $\mathrm{ht}(\alpha) < \mathrm{ht}(\sigma_{l,j})$ and $\mathrm{ht}(\sigma_{1,j}) \leq \mathrm{ht}(\sigma_{i,j})$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\mathrm{ht}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}\right) < \mathrm{ht}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}\right) \leq \mathrm{ht}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}\right).$$

We can conclude that this is not possible.

Similarly, in the third case, we would have that $\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}$ is (up to a sign) the wedge of all but two of the $\phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}$ (with $i \neq l$) along with a wedge $\phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta$ appearing in $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_{l,j}})$. Note that $\alpha + \beta = \sigma_{l,j}$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{ht}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}\right) \leq \mathrm{ht}\left(\bigwedge_{i=3}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}\right) < \mathrm{ht}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_{i,j}}\right) \leq \mathrm{ht}\left(\bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}\right).$$

Consequently, this is also not possible. Thus we must have $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_1}) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=2}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i} = 0$.

If $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_1}) \neq 0$, then $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_1}) = \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\sigma_1} c_{\alpha,\beta} \phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta$. As above, we have $\mathrm{ht}(\alpha) < \mathrm{ht}(\sigma_1) \leq \mathrm{ht}(\sigma_i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. The analogous condition holds for β as well. Hence, no α or β can equal a σ_i, and we must have $d_1(\phi_{\sigma_1}) = 0$. □

2.7. Combining this with Proposition 2.2.1, we get the following.

Corollary 2.7.1. *Assume that $p \geq 3$. For $p = 3$, assume further that Φ is not of type G_2.*

(a) *Let $x \in \mathrm{H}^n(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ be a representative cohomology class in reduced form having weight γ for some $\gamma \in X(T)$. Then one of the components of x is of the form $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{\sigma_i}$ for distinct positive roots $\sigma_i \in \Phi^+$ with $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i$ and at least one σ_i being simple.*

(b) *Suppose $\phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta \wedge \phi_\delta$ represents a cohomology class in $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$. By reordering if necessary, we have the following conditions on α, β, and δ:*

  (i) *α is a simple root.*

  (ii) *Either β is a simple root or $\beta = \alpha + \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \Phi^+$, and this is the unique decomposition of β as a sum of positive roots.*

  (iii) *Either δ is a simple root (in which case β is also) or δ is of the form $\alpha+\sigma_1$, $\delta = \beta+\sigma_2$, or both for some $\sigma_i \in \Phi^+$, and those are the only possible decompositions of δ as a sum of positive roots.*

Proof. Part (a) follows immediately from Propositions 2.2.1 and 2.6.1. For part (b), by reordering as needed, we may assume that $\mathrm{ht}(\alpha) \leq \mathrm{ht}(\beta) \leq \mathrm{ht}(\delta)$. From part (a), we have that that α must be simple.

By assumption $d_3(\phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta \wedge \phi_\delta) = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_3(\phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta \wedge \phi_\delta) &= d_1(\phi_\alpha) \wedge \phi_\beta \wedge \phi_\delta - \phi_\alpha \wedge d_1(\phi_\beta) \wedge \phi_\delta + \phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta \wedge d_1(\phi_\delta) \\ &= -\phi_\alpha \wedge d_1(\phi_\beta) \wedge \phi_\delta + \phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta \wedge d_1(\phi_\delta). \end{aligned}$$

In order for this to be zero, either both terms are independently zero or the terms cancel each other out. However, the first wedge product contains ϕ_δ and the second wedge product can never contain ϕ_δ since the roots α, β, δ are necessarily distinct. Thus the latter scenario is impossible. In other words, we must have both

$$\phi_\alpha \wedge d_1(\phi_\beta) \wedge \phi_\delta = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_\beta \wedge d_1(\phi_\delta) = 0.$$

Consider the first wedge. If $d_1(\phi_\beta) = 0$, then by Proposition 2.2, β is simple. Otherwise, we have

$$d_1(\phi_\beta) = \sum_{\sigma_1+\sigma_2=\beta} c_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2} \phi_{\sigma_1} \wedge \phi_{\sigma_2}$$

where the sum is over all distinct decompositions of β as a sum of positive roots. Note further that under the hypotheses, all coefficients c_{σ_1,σ_2} are non-zero mod p. Therefore, the only way to have $\phi_\alpha \wedge d_1(\phi_\beta) \wedge \phi_\delta = 0$ is if $d_1(\phi_\beta)$ involves ϕ_α or ϕ_δ. However, by our height

assumption, the latter case is not possible. That is, there is a unique decomposition of β into a sum of positive roots and it has the form $\beta = \alpha + \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \Phi^+$. An analogous argument gives the constraints on δ. □

2.8. We make some further observations about weights that arise in $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$. Recall that our goal is to show that, for sufficiently large primes, all weights of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ have the form $-w\cdot 0$ for $w \in W$ with $\ell(w) = 3$. We have also noted above that weights $-w\cdot 0$ with $\ell(w) = 3$ have the form $i\beta_1 + j\beta_2 + \beta_3$ or $i\beta_1 + j\beta_2$ for some $\beta_i \in \Pi$ and positive integers i, j. In this section, we show conversely that if such a weight arises as the weight of a cohomology class, then it must indeed equal $-w \cdot 0$.

To show this, we first need some elementary computations for rank 3 root systems about the differential $d_1 : \mathfrak{u}^* \to \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{u}^*)$. Note that these computations also hold as appropriate for rank 2 subsystems. We leave these computations as a straightforward exercise. Note that these results are unique only up to a consistent sign change.

Proposition 2.8.1. *Assume that Φ is of type A_3, B_3, or C_3. Let the simple roots be $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ ordered in the natural way. So α_3 is the short simple root in type B_3 and the long simple root in type C_3. Then we have the following for $d_1 : \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{u}^*) \to \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{u}^*)$.*

(a) *All types:*
- $d_1(\phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) = \phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2}$
- $d_1(\phi_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}) = \phi_{\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_3}$
- $d_1(\phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3}) = \phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} + \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_3}$

(b) *Type B_3:*
- $d_1(\phi_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}) = \phi_{\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} + \phi_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} + 2\cdot\phi_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3}$
- $d_1(\phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}) = \phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} + 2 \cdot \phi_{\alpha_3} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3}$
- $d_1(\phi_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}) = 2 \cdot \phi_{\alpha_3} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}$

(c) *Type C_3:*
- $d_1(\phi_{2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3}) = 2 \cdot \phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3} + 2 \cdot \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3}$
- $d_1(\phi_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3}) = \phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{2\alpha_2+\alpha_3} + \phi_{\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3} + \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}$
- $d_1(\phi_{2\alpha_2+\alpha_3}) = 2 \cdot \phi_{\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}$

Note that Φ necessarily has rank at least 3 in the following. In particular, type G_2 is not under consideration.

Proposition 2.8.2. *Assume that $p \geq 3$. If $p = 3$, assume further that Φ is not of type B_n, C_n, or F_4. Suppose $\gamma \in X(T)$ is a weight of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ with $\gamma = i\beta_1 + j\beta_2 + \beta_3$ for distinct $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \Pi$ and $i \geq j > 0$. Then $\gamma = -(s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3}) \cdot 0 = s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}(\beta_3) + s_{\beta_1}(\beta_2) + \beta_1$.*

Proof. We proceed with a case-by-case analysis. By assumption, $\gamma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3$ where $\{\sigma_i\}$ consists of distinct positive roots. Furthermore, for each i, $\sigma_i = a\beta_1 + b\beta_2 + c\beta_3$ for some $a, b \geq 0$, and $c \in \{0, 1\}$.

Types A_n, D_n, and E_n: For these types, the coefficients a, b must also lie in the set $\{0, 1\}$. The possible sums of three distinct positive roots giving the desired form are as follows:

I. $i = 3$, $j = 2$: $\gamma = (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2) + \beta_1$
II. $i = 3$, $j = 1$: $\gamma = (\beta_1 + \beta_2) + (\beta_1 + \beta_3) + \beta_1$
III. $i = 2$, $j = 2$:
   (a) $\gamma = (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3) + \beta_2 + \beta_1$

(b) $\gamma = (\beta_1 + \beta_2) + (\beta_1 + \beta_3) + \beta_2$
(c) $\gamma = (\beta_1 + \beta_2) + (\beta_2 + \beta_3) + \beta_1$

IV. $i = 2$, $j = 1$:
(a) $\gamma = (\beta_1 + \beta_2) + \beta_3 + \beta_1$
(b) $\gamma = (\beta_1 + \beta_3) + \beta_2 + \beta_1$

V. $i = 1$, $j = 1$: $\gamma = \beta_3 + \beta_2 + \beta_1$

Case I. Since there is only one way that the weight γ can arise, the corresponding cohomology class must be represented by $\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}$. In particular, this element must be a cocycle. From Lemma 2.1.1, Proposition 2.2.1, and Proposition 2.8.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= d_3(\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}) \\
&= d_1(\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3}) \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} - \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge d_1(\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2}) \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \\
&\qquad + \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge d_1(\phi_{\beta_1}) \\
&= d_1(\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3}) \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \pm \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \\
&= d_1(\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3}) \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta_1+\beta_2$ is a positive root, the roots β_1 and β_2 are adjacent. Further, since $\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3$ is a positive root, β_3 is adjacent to either β_1 or β_2. That is, the roots correspond to nodes of the Dynkin diagram in one of two ways:

$$\beta_3 \leftrightarrow \beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_2 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_2 \leftrightarrow \beta_3.$$

In the first case, by Lemma 2.1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1(\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3}) \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} &= \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} + \phi_{\beta_3+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \\
&= \phi_{\beta_3+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, the latter situation must hold. Then one can readily check that $3\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + \beta_3 = -s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$.

Case II. As in Case I, since the weight is unique, it must correspond to the element $\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}$. Since $\beta_1 + \beta_2$ and $\beta_1 + \beta_3$ are positive roots, β_1 is adjacent to both β_2 and β_3. That is, we have $\beta_2 \leftrightarrow \beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_3$. With that condition, one can check that $3\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = -s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$.

Case III. Here, we potentially have three ways in which the weight γ could arise. Notice that for (a), β_1, β_2, and β_3 lie in a row in some order. For (b), we must have $\beta_2 \leftrightarrow \beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_3$, and for (c) we have $\beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_2 \leftrightarrow \beta_3$. So cases (b) and (c) cannot occur simultaneously. There are three scenarios to consider up to a flip of the Dynkin diagram.

Suppose first that $\beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_3 \leftrightarrow \beta_2$. Then neither case (b) or (c) holds and γ arises uniquely corresponding to $\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}$. Moreover, one can check that $2\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + \beta_3 = -s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$.

Suppose next that we have $\beta_2 \leftrightarrow \beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_3$. Then γ can arise in two ways - (a) or (b). Notice however that $\gamma \neq -s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$ (indeed, $-s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$ is the weight arising in Case II). So we need to show that in fact there is no cohomology class having weight γ. If there was, γ would have to correspond to a linear combination $a\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} + b\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge$

$\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2}$. Then we have (rewriting to match the ordering of the roots)

$$\begin{aligned} d_3(a\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} + b\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2}) \\ &= a\phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} + a\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \\ &\quad + b\phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} - b\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \\ &= a\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} - b\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \\ &= (a-b)\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}. \end{aligned}$$

So this is a cocyle if and only if $a = b$. That is the potential cohomology class would be represented by $\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} + \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2}$. Notice however that

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1}) \\ &= \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} + \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} - \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \\ &= \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} - \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \\ &= -\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} - \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

And so the above class is a coboundary. Hence, there is no cohomology class of weight γ as claimed.

Suppose finally that we have $\beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_2 \leftrightarrow \beta_3$. Then γ can arise in two ways - (a) or (c). As in the preceding case, $\gamma \neq -s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$ (indeed, $-s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$ is the weight arising in Case I). So we need to show that in fact there is no cohomology class having weight γ. If there was, γ would have to correspond to a linear combination $a\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} + b\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}$. Then we have (rewriting to match the ordering of the roots)

$$\begin{aligned} d_3(a\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} + b\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}) \\ &= a\phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} + a\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \\ &\quad + b\phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} - b\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \\ &= a\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} - b\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \\ &= (a-b)\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}. \end{aligned}$$

So this is a cocyle if and only if $a = b$. That is the potential cohomology class would be represented by $\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} + \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}$. Notice however that

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2}) \\ &= \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} + \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} - \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \\ &= \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} - \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \\ &= -\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} - \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}. \end{aligned}$$

And so the above class is a coboundary. Hence, there is no cohomology class of weight γ as claimed.

Case IV. Notice that in case (a) β_1 is adjacent to β_2, and in case (b) β_1 is adjacent to β_3. Notice also that both $\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}$ and $\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2}$ are cocycles.

Suppose first that β_1 is adjacent to both β_2 and β_3. Then we have $\beta_2 \leftrightarrow \beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_3$ and $\gamma \neq -s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$. That would be Case II. So we want to show that there is no cohomology

class having weight γ. Indeed, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &d_2(\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} + \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3}) \\ &\quad = \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} + \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} + \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} - \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \\ &\quad = -2\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}$ is a coboundary. Similarly,

$$d_2(\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} - \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3}) = -2\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}.$$

And so $\phi_{\beta_2+\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}$ is also a coboundary. Hence, γ cannot be the weight of a cohomology class.

Next assume that β_1 is adjacent to β_2 but not adjacent to β_3. Then we need only consider case (a) in which γ must correspond to $\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}$. There are still two cases to consider depending upon whether β_3 is adjacent to β_2. If it is, then we have $\beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_2 \leftrightarrow \beta_3$ and $\gamma \neq -s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$. This should be Case I. However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1}) &= \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} + \phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \\ &= -\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}. \end{aligned}$$

And so $\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}$ is indeed a coboundary. On the other hand, if β_3 is not adjacent to β_2 (nor β_1), then one can check that $2\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = -s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$.

Finally, assume that β_1 is adjacent to β_3 but not to β_2. Then we only need to consider case (b) in which γ must correspond to $\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2}$. Similar to the preceding case, if β_2 is adjacent to β_3 (i.e., we have $\beta_1 \leftrightarrow \beta_3 \leftrightarrow \beta_2$), one sees that $\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \wedge \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2}$ is a coboundary. On the other hand, if β_2 is not adjacent to β_3 (nor β_1), then $2\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = -s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$.

Case V. Here γ must correspond to $\phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}$ which is evidently a cocycle. Observe that if any of the roots β_1, β_2, and β_3 are adjacent, then this class is a coboundary. For example, suppose β_1 and β_2 are adjacent. Then

$$d_2(\phi_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}) = \phi_{\beta_1} \wedge \phi_{\beta_2} \wedge \phi_{\beta_3}.$$

The other cases are similar. Hence, for this to represent a cohomology class, the three roots must be completely disjoint. Under that condition, one indeed has $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = -s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} \cdot 0$ as claimed.

Type B_n: If the none of the roots β_1, β_2, or β_3 is equal to the short root α_n, then the roots lie in the natural root subsystem of type A_{n-1} and the result follows from above. Next, if $\beta_i \in \{\alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\}$ for each i, then the problem reduces to a Lie subalgebra of type B_3. For type B_3, the Lie algebra cohomology can be computed directly by hand (with a fair amount of work) or using computer programs and the software MAGMA [BC, BCP]. Such programs have been written by students at the University of Wisconsin-Stout and by the VIGRE Algebra Group at the University of Georgia. Alternatively, since $h = 6$, one can apply the general theory of [FP2] [PT] [UGA]. One finds that for $p \geq 5$, each cohomology class in $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ has weight $w \cdot 0$ for some $w \in W$ with $l(w) = 3$. Hence, the result follows. Note that for $p = 3$, there do exist three "extra" cohomology classes, two of which indeed have weight as given in the lemma.

It remains to consider the case when one of the β_is is α_n and another is α_j for some $j < n-2$. Recall that the weight must arise as a sum $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3$ of distinct positive roots. We have the following possibilities:

(i) $\alpha_j + (\alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n) + (\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-3$
(ii) $\alpha_j + (\alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n) + \alpha_n$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-3$
(iii) $\alpha_j + (\alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n) + \alpha_{n-1}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-3$
(iv) $\alpha_j + (\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n) + \alpha_n$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-3$
(v) $\alpha_j + (\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n) + \alpha_{n-1}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-3$
(vi) $\alpha_j + \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-3$
(vii) $\alpha_j + \alpha_{n-2} + \alpha_n$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-4$
(viii) $\alpha_{n-3} + \alpha_{n-2} + \alpha_n$
(ix) $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \alpha_n$ where σ_1 and σ_2 together involve precisely two simple roots α_j with $1 \leq j \leq n-3$.

For the first eight cases, the given weight arises uniquely as a sum of three distinct positive roots. Hence, the corresponding cohomology class would have to be $\phi_{\sigma_1} \wedge \phi_{\sigma_2} \wedge \phi_{\sigma_3}$. In case (i), the corresponding element is not a cocyle. Indeed, $\phi_{\alpha_j} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+2\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n} \mapsto \phi_{\alpha_j} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+2\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_n}$. In case (ii), the weight equals $-s_{\alpha_n} s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_j} \cdot 0$ as needed. In case (iii), the corresponding element is not a cocycle. Indeed, $\phi_{\alpha_j} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+2\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}} \mapsto -2\phi_{\alpha_j} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}}$. In case (iv), the corresponding element is a cocycle but is also a coboundary. Indeed, $\phi_{\alpha_j} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+2\alpha_n} \mapsto 2\phi_{\alpha_j}\phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_n}$. In case (v), the weight equals $-s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_n} s_{\alpha_j} \cdot 0$ as needed. In case (vi), the corresponding element is a cocycle but is also a coboundary. Indeed, $\phi_{\alpha_j} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n} \mapsto -\phi_{\alpha_j} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_n}$. In case (vii), the weight equals $-s_{\alpha_n} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_j} \cdot 0$ as needed. In case (viii), the corresponding element is a cocyle but is also a cobouncary. Indeed, $\phi_{\alpha_{n-3}+\alpha_{n-2}} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_n} \mapsto \phi_{\alpha_{n-3}} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-2}} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_n}$.

For case (ix), there are several subcases to consider:

(a) $\sigma_1 = \alpha_j$ and $\sigma_2 = \alpha_{j+1}$ with $1 \leq j \leq n-4$
(b) $\sigma_1 = \alpha_i$ and $\sigma_2 = \alpha_j$ with $1 \leq i < j-1 \leq n-4$
(c) $\sigma_1 = \alpha_j$ and $\sigma_2 = \alpha_j + \alpha_{j+1}$ with $1 \leq j \leq n-4$
(d) $\sigma_1 = \alpha_j$ and $\sigma_2 = \alpha_{j-1} + \alpha_j$ with $2 \leq j \leq n-3$.

Again, these weights arise uniquely and all correpsond to cocycles. However, in case (a), the corresponding element is also a coboundary. Indeed, $\phi_{\alpha_j+\alpha_{j+1}} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_n} \mapsto \phi_{\alpha_j} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{j+1}} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_n}$. In the latter three cases, the weight equals $-w \cdot 0$ as needed. In order, the words are $s_{\alpha_i} s_{\alpha_j} s_{\alpha_n}$, $s_{\alpha_j} s_{\alpha_{j+1}} s_{\alpha_n}$, and $s_{\alpha_j} s_{\alpha_{j-1}} s_{\alpha_n}$. Thus the claim holds for type B_n.

Type C_n: For type C_n one can argue similarly to type B_n.

Type F_4: Note that in this case $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ could be computed with the aid of a computer. We present the general argument anyhow. If the simple roots $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ form a root subsystem of type B_3 or C_3, we are done by above. That leaves the case of type $A_2 \times A_1$. The possible root sums are

(i) $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$
(ii) $\alpha_1 + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + \alpha_4$
(iii) $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)$
(iv) $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4$
(v) $\alpha_1 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_4$
(vi) $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4$

Note that all of these weights arise uniquely. Cases (ii), (iii), (v), and (vi) all have the desired form $-w \cdot 0$. Specifically, in order, they are $-s_1 s_4 s_3 \cdot 0$, $-s_1 s_3 s_4 \cdot 0$, $-s_1 s_2 s_4 \cdot 0$, and $-s_2 s_1 s_4 \cdot 0$.

In the remaining two cases, the corresponding elements are cocycles but also coboundaries. Indeed, we have $\phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_3+\alpha_4} \mapsto -\phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_3} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_4}$ and $\phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_4} \mapsto \phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_4}$. □

Proposition 2.8.3. *Assume that $p \geq 3$. Assume that Φ is not of type G_2. Suppose $\gamma \in X(T)$ is a weight of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ with $\gamma = i\beta_1 + j\beta_2$ for $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Pi$ and $i \geq j > 0$. Then $\gamma = -(s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_1}) \cdot 0 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}(\beta_1) + s_{\beta_1}(\beta_2) + \beta_1$.*

Proof. As in the proof of the preceding lemma, the weight γ must equal the sum of three distinct positive roots. For types A_n, D_n, and E_n, the only way such a weight can arise is if $\gamma = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + (\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}) = -s_{\alpha_i} s_{\alpha_{i+1}} s_{\alpha_i} \cdot 0 = -s_{\alpha_{i+1}} s_{\alpha_i} s_{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdot 0$ as claimed. In types B_n, C_n, and F_4, we have additional cases to consider when working within a type B_2 (or equivalently C_2) root system. For type B_2, there are four cases:

(i) $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$
(ii) $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)$
(iii) $\alpha_1 + (\alpha_+ + \alpha_2) + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)$
(iv) $\alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)$.

Each of these weights arise uniquely. In the first two cases, the corresponding elements are coboundaries. Indeed, we have $\phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2} \mapsto -2\phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ and $\phi_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2} \mapsto \phi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_2} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}$. The latter two weights are of the desired form: $-s_1 s_2 s_1 \cdot 0$ and $-s_2 s_1 s_2 \cdot 0$ respectively. □

Remark 2.8.4. In the case that Φ is of type G_2, all cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ may be computed by hand. For $p \geq 5$, $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ is two dimensional with weights corresponding to $-w \cdot 0$ for the two elements of length three. However, for $p = 3$, there are six "extra" cohomology classes whose weights are not of the form $-w \cdot 0$ for $\ell(w) = 3$.

3. Relationship between ordinary and restricted cohomology

3.1. In this section, we investigate a spectral sequence relating $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ to $\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k)$. In Section 4, this will be further related to B_1-cohomology, from which we will be able to make a precise determination of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ for primes that are not too small. See Theorem 4.3.1.

By Corollary 2.7.1, we know that a weight γ of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ can be expressed as the sum of three distinct positive roots, at least one of which is simple. In studying these relationships between $\mathfrak{u}$-, U_1-, and B_1-cohomology, we make use of the fact that such root sums cannot take certain forms. This will be the focus of the next two subsections.

3.2. **Root sums I:** The following result shows that weights of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ cannot lie in $pX(T)$ for $p \geq 5$.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Assume $p \geq 5$. Let $\alpha, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Phi^+$ be distinct positive roots with $\alpha \in \Pi$. Then $\alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \notin pX(T)$.*

Proof. Set $\gamma := \alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ and suppose that $\gamma = p\nu$ for some $\nu \in X(T)$. Clearly $\nu \neq 0$. Express γ as a sum of fundamental dominant weights: $\gamma = \sum c_i \omega_i$ for integers c_i. By direct calculation, one finds the following constraints on the c_i for any i.

Φ	A_n, D_n, E_n	B_n, F_4	C_n	G_2
Bounds on c_i	$-3 \leq c_i \leq 4$	$-6 \leq c_i \leq 6$	$-4 \leq c_i \leq 5$	$-4 \leq c_i \leq 6$

For example, in types A_n, D_n, or E_n, when a positive root is expressed as a sum of fundamental dominant weights, the coefficients are $-1, 0, 1$, or 2, with 2 occurring only for a simple root. Since we have a sum of three distinct roots, for any given ω_i, the coefficient is at most $2 + 1 + 1 = 4$.

Since $\nu \neq 0$, in a similar expression for $p\nu$, at least one ω_i has a coefficient that is a multiple of p. From the above table, this is clearly not possible for $p \geq 7$. Further, for types A_n, D_n, and E_n this is not possible for $p \geq 5$. We are left to consider types B_n, C_n, F_4, and G_2 when $p = 5$. In these cases, since $p\nu$ lies in the root lattice, ν necessarily also lies in the root lattice. In fact, ν must lie in the positive root lattice.

Similar to above, express γ as a sum of simple roots: $\gamma = \sum m_i\alpha_i$ with $m_i \geq 0$. To have $\gamma = 5\nu$, we must have each m_i being divisible by 5. For type B_n, the largest a coefficient can be is 5, and this occurs only if $\alpha = \alpha_n$ and σ_1, σ_2 both contain $2\alpha_n$. But then the coefficient of α_{n-1} is non-zero (it is at least two) and at most 4. So this is impossible. In type C_n, one similarly could have $\alpha = \alpha_{n-1}$ and σ_1, σ_2 both containing $2\alpha_{n-1}$. But then the coefficient of α_n is non-zero (it is at least two) and not divisible by 5. One can argue similarly in types F_4 and G_2 or compute all possible values of γ to verify the claim. □

Remark 3.2.2. For $p = 2$ or $p = 3$, it is possible for such weights γ to lie in $pX(T)$. For example, in type B_2, $\alpha_1 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) = 3(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$.

3.3. **Root sums II:** Next we consider whether weights of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ can have the form $\sigma + p\nu$ for σ in the positive root lattice and $\nu \neq 0$. For sufficiently large primes, this is not possible. For later computations, slightly more general statements are proven than just for the case that $\alpha, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ are distinct positive roots.

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Phi^+ \cup \{0\}$. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi^+$ with $\sigma = \sum n_i\alpha_i$ and $0 \leq n_i < p$. Make the following assumption on p dependent on the root system:*

Φ	A_n	B_n	C_n	D_n	E_6	E_7	E_8	F_4	G_2
$p \geq$	5	7	7	7	11	11	17	11	11

Suppose $\alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = \sigma + p\nu$ for some $\nu \in X(T)$. Suppose further that in type A_n either ν lies in the root lattice or p does not divide $n + 1$. Then $\nu = 0$.

Proof. First observe that $p\nu$ necessarily lies in the root lattice. By the assumptions on p, this implies that ν lies in the root lattice.

Set $\gamma := \alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ and express $\gamma = \sum m_i\alpha_i$ as a sum of simple roots (with $m_i \geq 0$). The equation $\gamma = \sigma + p\nu$ becomes $\sum m_i\alpha_i = \sum n_i\alpha_i + p\nu$. Since $0 \leq n_i < p$ for each i, if $p > m_i$ for all i, then we necessarily have $\nu = 0$. We recall in the following table the maximum value of a coefficient when a root is expressed as a sum of simple roots.

Φ	A_n	B_n	C_n	D_n	E_6	E_7	E_8	F_4	G_2
max coefficient	1	2	2	2	3	4	6	4	3

Keeping in mind that α is simple, the assumptions on p indeed give $p > m_i$ for all i. □

For our purposes, the weight σ appearing in Lemma 3.3.1 will have more specific form. The next two results present cases where one can lower the condition on the prime.

Proposition 3.3.2. *Let $\alpha \in \Pi$, $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Phi^+ \cup \{0\}$, and assume that all non-zero roots are distinct. Suppose that*

$$\alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = \beta + \sigma_3 + p\nu$$

for distinct roots $\beta, \sigma_3 \in \Phi^+$ with $\beta \in \Pi$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi$. Make the following assumption on p dependent on the root system:

Φ	A_n	B_n	C_n	D_n	E_n	F_4	G_2
$p \geq$	5	7	5	5	5	7	7

Then $\nu = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3.1, we only need to consider the following cases: types C_n, D_n and E_n for $p = 5$, types E_n, F_4, and G_2 for $p = 7$, and type E_8 for $p = 11, 13$. We proceed in a case-by-case basis, and, as in the proof of Lemma 3.3.1, express the left and right hand sides of the given equation as sums of simple roots.

Consider type C_n with $p = 5$. To have a non-trivial solution, we would need to have $\alpha = \alpha_{n-1}$, both σ_1 and σ_2 containing $2\alpha_{n-1}$, $\nu = \alpha_{n-1}$, and neither β nor σ_3 containing α_{n-1}. Since σ_3 does not contain an α_{n-1}, it cannot contain *both* an α_{n-2} and an α_n, nor does β (since β is simple). So the sum of the coefficients of α_{n-2} and α_n in $\beta + \sigma_3$ is at most two. On the other hand, both σ_1 and σ_2 must contain an α_n and, since they are distinct, at least one contains an α_{n-2}. Therefore, the sum of the coefficients of α_{n-2} and α_n in $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ is at least three. So no solution is possible.

For type D_n with $p = 5$, similar to the type C_n case, to have a non-trivial solution, we must have $\alpha = \alpha_{n-2}$, σ_1, σ_2 each containing $2\alpha_{n-2}$, and neither β nor σ_3 containing an α_{n-2}. Then $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ contains $2\alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n$, while β and σ_3 can each contain at most one of α_{n-1} or α_n. Therefore, no solution is possible.

For the exceptional cases, the claim has been verified by using Magma [BC, BCP] to compute all possible sums over $\alpha, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \beta, \sigma_3$. One can also argue in a manner similar to above (with many more cases). □

Remark 3.3.3. When $p = 2, 3$, there exists cases with $\nu \neq 0$ in all types. For $p = 5$, arguing as above, one finds that the *only* non-trivial solutions are as follows:

(i) Type B_n: $n \geq 3$, and $1 \leq i \leq n-2$,
- $\alpha_n + (\alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n) + (\alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n) = \alpha_{n-1} + (\alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) + 5\alpha_n$
- For $i < n-2$, the weight $\gamma := \alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2} + 2\alpha_{n-1} + 5\alpha_n$ does not have the form $-w \cdot 0$ for $\ell(w) = 3$. Moreover, the only way that γ can arise as the sum of three distinct positive roots is as $\gamma = \alpha_n + (\alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n) + (\alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n)$. As such, the corresponding cohomology class would have to be represented by $\phi_{\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_{n-1}+2\alpha_n} \wedge \phi_{\alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n} \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{u}^*)$. However, one can directly check that the differential is non-zero on this element, and so it does not represent a cohomology class in $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$.

(ii) Type F_4:
- $\alpha_3 + (\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3) = \alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 5\alpha_3$
- $\alpha_3 + (\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3) + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_2) = \alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) + 5\alpha_3$

(iii) Type G_2:
- $\alpha_1 + (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + (3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = \alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 5\alpha_1$.

Proposition 3.3.4. *Let $\alpha, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Phi^+$ be distinct positive roots with $\alpha \in \Pi$. Suppose that*

$$\alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = i_1\beta_1 + i_2\beta_2 + i_3\beta_3 + p\nu$$

for distinct $\beta_j \in \Pi$ with $0 \leq i_1, i_2 < p$, $0 \leq i_3 \leq 1$ and $\nu \in X(T)$. Make the following assumption on p dependent on the root system:

Φ	$A_n, n \neq 4, 6$	A_4	A_6	$B_2 = C_2$	$B_n, n \geq 3$	$C_n, n \geq 3$	D_n	E_n	F_4	G_2
$p \geq$	5	7	5 ($\neq 7$)	5	7	7	5	5	11	11

Then $\nu = 0$.

Proof. In type A_n when p does not divide $n+1$, type B_n ($n \geq 3$), type C_n ($n \geq 3$), type F_4, and type G_2, we are done by Lemma 3.3.1. Set $\gamma := \alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$.

For type B_2 (or equivalently C_2) when $p = 5$, when expressed as a sum of simple roots, the maximal coefficient of γ is 4. Hence, no solution is possible. In type D_n, we only need to consider the case $p = 5$. We may assume that $n \geq 5$ since in D_4 only one root has a coefficient of 2 when expressed as a sum of simple roots. As in the proof of Proposition 3.3.2, to have a non-trivial solution, we would need $\alpha = \alpha_{n-2}$, σ_1, σ_2 each containing $2\alpha_{n-2}$, and $\nu = \alpha_{n-2}$. Then $\gamma - 5\alpha_{n-2}$ would contain at least four simple roots, and we are allowed at most 3. For type E_n, the claim has again been verified by direct computation using MAGMA [BC, BCP].

Lastly, consider the case that Φ is of type A_n with p dividing $n+1$. We argue as in [BNP2, Section 3.4]. In this situation, $X(T)/\mathbb{Z}\Phi = \{t\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\Phi : t = 0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ and

$$t\omega_1 = \frac{t}{n+1}(n\alpha_1 + (n-1)\alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n).$$

If ν lies in the root lattice, then we are done, so we may assume that $\nu = t\omega_1 + \nu'$ for some $t \neq 0$ and $\nu' \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi$. Our equation becomes

$$\gamma = i_1\beta_1 + i_2\beta_2 + i_3\beta_3 + pt\omega_1 + p\nu'.$$

We may further assume that $pt/(n+1)$ is not congruent to zero mod p, or we would be done as previously. Express both sides as sums of simple roots. On the left, the coefficients appearing in γ can be $0, 1, 2$, or 3. In other words, there are at most three distinct non-zero coefficients. On the right, notice that, mod p, the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, p-1$ all appear as coefficients in $pt\omega_1$. At most three of those can be "cancelled" by the β_j terms. Hence, there are at least $p-4$ distinct non-zero coefficients mod p. So we have a contradiction if $p - 4 > 3$ or $p > 7$. For $p = 7$, if $n + 1 \geq 14$, notice that the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, p-1$ appear at least *twice* as coefficients (from distinct simple roots) in $pt\omega_1$, which again leads to a contradiction. One has a similar situation for $p = 5$ and $n + 1 \geq 10$. That leaves the two "bad" cases of type A_6 when $p = 7$ and A_4 when $p = 5$.

Note that there are non-trivial solutions in these "bad" type A cases. For example, in type A_4 (with $p = 5$) some solutions (not all) appear in Remark 3.3.7 below. □

Remark 3.3.5. For the following root systems and primes, the following are the *only* non-trivial solutions:

(i) Type C_n, $n \geq 3$, $p = 5$

- $\alpha_{n-1}+(c_1\alpha_{n-2}+2\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n)+(c_2\alpha_{n-3}+c_3\alpha_{n-2}+2\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n) = c_2\alpha_{n-3}+(c_1+c_3)\alpha_{n-2}+2\alpha_n+5\alpha_{n-1}$ for appropriate $c_1 \in \{0,1,2\}$, $c_2 \in \{0,1\}$, $c_3 \in \{1,2\}$ (9 cases for $n \geq 4$, 3 cases for $n = 3$)
- $\alpha_{n-2}+(2\alpha_{n-2}+2\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n)+(\alpha_{n-3}+2\alpha_{n-2}+2\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n) = \alpha_{n-3}+4\alpha_{n-1}+2\alpha_n+5\alpha_{n-2}$ ($n \geq 4$)
- Note that all weights occur within the type C_4 root subsystem.

- Type F_4, $p = 7$
  - $\alpha_3+(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+c\alpha_4)+(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4) = \alpha_1+3\alpha_2+(c+2)\alpha_4+7\alpha_3$ for $c \in \{0,1,2\}$
  - $\alpha_3+(\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+c\alpha_4)+(\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4) = \alpha_1+4\alpha_2+(c+2)\alpha_4+7\alpha_3$ for $c \in \{0,1,2\}$
  - $\alpha_2+(\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)+(2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4) = 3\alpha_1+\alpha_3+4\alpha_4+7(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)$
- Type G_2, $p = 7$
  - $\alpha_1+(3\alpha_1+\alpha_2)+(3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2) = 3\alpha_2+7\alpha_1$
- Denote the above expressions by $\gamma = \sigma + p\nu$. Note that in each case neither γ nor σ has the form $-w \cdot 0$ for $\ell(w) = 3$.

Corollary 3.3.6. *Assume $p \geq 5$. If Φ is of type A_4, assume $p \geq 7$. Let $\alpha, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Phi^+$ be distinct positive roots with $\alpha \in \Pi$. Then*

$$\alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \neq \beta + p\nu$$

for any $\beta \in \Pi$ and $\nu \in X(T)$.

Proof. First observe that we could not have $\nu = 0$. In types A_n (except A_6 with $p = 7$), D_n, and E_n, the claim follows immediately from Proposition 3.3.4. By direct calculation using MAGMA [BC, BCP], the claim can be verified for type A_6 with $p = 7$ and types F_4 and G_2 when $p = 5, 7$ (with larger primes following from the proposition). It remains to consider types B_n and C_n ($n \geq 3$) for $p = 5$. In those cases, we argue as in the proof of Proposition 3.3.2 supposing that there was a non-zero ν for which the equation held. As seen there, in type B_n (respectively, C_n), for that to be possible, the left side would contain between $2\alpha_{n-1}$ and $4\alpha_{n-1}$ (respectively, $2\alpha_n$ and $4\alpha_n$) which would not match with the single simple root β on the right. □

Remark 3.3.7. For type A_4 with $p = 5$, there are precisely two solutions

- $-s_3s_2s_1 \cdot 0 = \alpha_3+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3) = \alpha_4+5(\omega_3-\omega_4)$
- $-s_2s_3s_4 \cdot 0 = \alpha_2+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4) = \alpha_1+5(-\omega_1+\omega_2)$.

3.4. **Relating $\mathfrak{u}$ and U_1:** We can relate $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ to $\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k)$ via the first quadrant spectral sequence introduced by Friedlander and Parshall [FP1] for $p \geq 3$ (and later generalized by Andersen and Jantzen [AJ] and Friedlander and Parshall [FP2]; cf. also [Jan1, I.9.20]):

$$E_2^{2i,j} = S^i(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^j(\mathfrak{u}, k) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2i+j}(U_1, k). \tag{3.4.1}$$

The spectral sequence admits an action of the maximal torus T. Under this action, all the differentials are T-homomorphisms. Since the odd columns are all zero, the only terms that can contribute to $\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k)$ are $E_2^{2,1} = (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ and $E_2^{0,3} = \mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$.

The first question to consider is whether or not these terms (or what portion of them) survive in the spectral sequence. For the first term, we must consider the differential

$$d_2 : (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k) = E_2^{2,1} \to E_2^{4,0} = S^2(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)}.$$

We need to identify the kernel of this map. From Proposition 2.2.1, as long as $p \geq 3$ or Φ is not of type G_2 when $p = 3$, then elements in $(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ have weight $p\sigma + \alpha$ for some $\sigma \in \Phi^+$ and some simple root $\alpha \in \Pi$. On the other hand, any weight of the righthand side is of the form $p\lambda$ for $\lambda = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ with $\sigma_i \in \Phi^+$. This implies that $\alpha = p\tau$ for some $\tau \in X(T)$ which is impossible for $p \geq 3$. Therefore, all elements must map to zero. When $p = 3$ and Φ is of type G_2, the "extra" weight of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ is $3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ which would similarly lead to the condition that $\alpha_2 = 3\tau$ which is impossible. So for $p \geq 3$, $\ker(d_2) = (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k)$.

Next, we consider the image of

$$d_2 : \mathrm{H}^2(\mathfrak{u}, k) = E_2^{0,2} \to E_2^{2,1} = (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k).$$

This differential was studied in [BNP2]. For $p > 3$, it was shown [BNP2, Prop. 4.1] that the map is zero. For $p = 3$, it was found that the map could be non-zero for elements having certain weight in types B_n ($\lambda = \alpha_{n-1} + 3\alpha_n$), C_n ($\lambda = 3\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$), F_4 ($\lambda = \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3$), and G_2 ($\lambda = 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$). Moreover, these weights arise uniquely on each side, and using [BNP2, Prop. 5.2] along with the fact that $\mathrm{H}^2(B_1, \lambda) = \mathrm{H}^2(U_1, \lambda)^{T_1} = (\mathrm{H}^2(U_1, k) \otimes \lambda)^{T_1}$, one finds that the map is indeed non-zero on representative elements corresponding to these weights.

As noted in [BNP2], the spectral sequence can be refined. Since weight spaces are preserved by the differentials, and all modules for T are completely reducible, for each $\lambda \in X(T)$, one obtains a spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{2i,j} = [S^i(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^j(\mathfrak{u}, k)]_\lambda \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2i+j}(U_1, k)_\lambda. \tag{3.4.2}$$

From our analysis above, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.4.1. *let $p \geq 3$ and $\lambda \in X(T)$. As a T-module,*

$$\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k)_\lambda \supseteq ((\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k))_\lambda \tag{3.4.3}$$

except for the following weights

(i) *$p = 3$, Φ is of type B_n: $\lambda = \alpha_{n-1} + 3\alpha_n$,*
(ii) *$p = 3$, Φ is of type C_n: $\lambda = 3\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$,*
(iii) *$p = 3$, Φ is of type F_4: $\lambda = \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3$,*
(iv) *$p = 3$, Φ is of type G_2: $\lambda = 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$.*

In the exceptional cases, $\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k)_\lambda \subseteq \mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)_\lambda$ as T-modules.

3.5. We next turn to the investigation of the term $E_2^{0,3} = \mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$. In order to determine its contribution to $\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k)$, there are two differentials to consider:

$$d_2 : \mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k) = E_2^{0,3} \to E_2^{2,2} = (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^2(\mathfrak{u}, k)$$

and then

$$d_4 : \mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k) = E_2^{0,3} \to E_2^{4,0} = S^2(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)}.$$

From Corollary 2.7.1, we know that weights of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ have the form $\alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ for distinct positive roots $\alpha, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ with α being simple.

Consider the differential d_2. On the right hand side, by Theorem 2.3.1, the weights have the form $p\nu + \beta + \sigma_3$ for positive roots ν, β, σ_3 with β simple and $\beta \neq \sigma_3$. To have a non-trivial differential, we must have $\alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = p\nu + \beta + \sigma_3$ (with $\nu \neq 0$). Applying Proposition 3.3.2, this is impossible for the primes given therein.

For those classes that survive to the E_4-page, consider now d_4. Here, the image has to have weight of the form $p(\sigma_3+\sigma_4)$ for positive roots σ_3, σ_4. In other words, $\alpha+\sigma_1+\sigma_2 = p(\sigma_3+\sigma_4)$. By Proposition 3.2.1, this is not possible for $p \geq 5$. We conclude the following.

Theorem 3.5.1. *Let*

(a) $p \geq 5$ *when* Φ *is of types* A_n, C_n, D_n, E_n,
(b) $p \geq 7$ *when* Φ *is of type* B_n $(n \geq 3)$, F_4, *or* G_2.

Then, as T*-modules,*

$$\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k) \cong \mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k) \oplus ((\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k)).$$

Remark 3.5.2. In the cases for $p = 5$ when Φ is of type B_n $(n \geq 3)$, F_4, or G_2, one still has $\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k) \supset (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k)$, however, $\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k)_\lambda$ may not contain $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)_\lambda$ for the following weights (cf. Remark 3.3.3):

(i) Type B_n $(n \geq 3)$: $\lambda = \alpha_{n-2} + 2\alpha_{n-1} + 5\alpha_n = -s_n s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdot 0$,
(ii) Type F_4: $\lambda = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 5\alpha_3 = -s_3 s_2 s_1 \cdot 0$ or $\lambda = \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 7\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4$,
(iii) Type G_2: $\lambda = 6\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$.

4. Utilizing the B-cohomology

4.1. From this point on, unless otherwise specified, we will make the following assumptions on the characteristic of the field k.

Assumption 4.1.1. *Let* p *be the characteristic of the field* k*. We impose the condition that*

(a) $p \geq 5$ *when* Φ *is of types* A_n, C_n, D_n, *or* E_n,
(b) $p \neq 5$ *when* Φ *is of type* A_4, $p \neq 7$ *when* Φ *is of type* A_6,
(c) $p \geq 7$ *when* Φ *is of type* B_n $(n \geq 3)$, F_4, *or* G_2.

4.2. **Relating $\mathfrak{u}$ and B_1:** The following result relates the B_1-cohomology with the $\mathfrak{u}$-cohomology.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let* p *satisfy Assumption 4.1.1 and* $\lambda \in X(T)$.

(a) *As a* T*-module,*

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{\nu \in X(T)} p\nu^{\dim \mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u},k)_{-\lambda+p\nu} + \dim[((\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u},k)]_{-\lambda+p\nu}}$$

(b) $\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, k) = 0$.

Proof. (a) The same argument as in [BNP2, Prop. 4.2] gives that

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{\nu \in X(T)} p\nu^{\dim \mathrm{H}^3(U_1,k)_{-\lambda+p\nu}}.$$

The claim now follows from Theorem 3.5.1.

(b) We have from Theorem 3.5.1 that $\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, k) \cong \mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k)^{T_1} \cong \mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)^{T_1}$. By Corollary 2.7.1 and Proposition 3.2.1, $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)^{T_1} = 0$. □

Remark 4.2.2. The proposition holds also for types A_4 and A_6 for all $p \geq 5$.

4.3. **$\mathfrak{u}$-cohomology:** In [BNP2], the authors made use of known information on $\mathrm{H}^j(B, \lambda)$ $j = 0, 1, 2$ in order to compute $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathfrak{u}, k)$. This strategy will be used in the following theorem. Information about $\mathrm{H}^j(B, k)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ will be used to determine $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$. The following theorem extends the work of [PT, FP2, UGA] which required $p \geq h - 1$.

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let p be an odd prime. In addition, assume that $p \geq 5$ when Φ is of type A_n ($n \geq 4$), B_3, C_n ($n \geq 3$), D_n, E_n, and G_2, and that $p \geq 7$ when Φ is of type B_n ($n \geq 4$) and F_4.*

(a) *If $\gamma \in X(T)$ is a weight of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$, then $\gamma = -w \cdot 0$ for some $w \in W$ with $l(w) = 3$.*
(b) *As a T-module,*

$$\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W,\ l(w)=3} -w \cdot 0$$

Proof. By direct computation of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$, with the aid of MAGMA [BC, BCP], (or by [PT] when $p \geq h - 1$) the theorem can be verified for types A_1, A_2, A_3, and B_2 (or C_2) when $p = 3$, type A_4 when $p = 5$, type A_6 when $p = 7$, type F_4 when $p \geq 7$, and type G_2 when $p \geq 5$. We exclude those cases for the remainder of the proof. Assume also for the moment that Φ is not of type C_n ($n \geq 3$) when $p = 5$.

Let γ be a weight of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$. Then $\gamma = \alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ where $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Phi^+$ by Corollary 2.7.1. Now by Proposition 4.2.1, we have that $\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, -\gamma) \neq 0$. Consider the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre (LHS) spectral sequence applied to $B_1 \trianglelefteq B$ with $-\gamma + p\nu \in X(T)$:

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{B/B_1}(-p\nu, \mathrm{H}^j(B_1, -\gamma)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+j}(B, -\gamma + p\nu).$$

First observe that $-\gamma \notin pX(T)$ by Proposition 4.2.1, thus $E_2^{i,0} = 0$ for $i \geq 0$. Next suppose that $E_2^{i,1} \neq 0$ for some $i \geq 0$. Then $\mathrm{H}^1(B_1, -\gamma) \neq 0$. By [Jan2], $\gamma = p\sigma + \delta$ for some $\delta \in \Pi$ and $\sigma \in X(T)$. By Corollary 3.3.6, this is not possible. Thus $E_2^{i,1} = 0$ for $i \geq 0$. Finally, by [BNP2, Thm 5.3], if $\mathrm{H}^2(B_1, -\gamma) \neq 0$, then $\gamma = -w \cdot 0 + p\sigma$ where $l(w) = 2$ and $\sigma \in X(T)$. From Proposition 2.5.1, $-w \cdot 0 = c\delta_1 + \delta_2$ for $\delta_i \in \Pi$ and $c \geq 1$. By Proposition 3.3.4, we must have $\sigma = 0$, and so $\gamma = c\delta_1 + \delta_2$, which is not possible. Therefore, $E_2^{i,2} = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. We can now conclude that

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B, -\gamma + p\nu) \cong E_2^{0.3} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{B/B_1}(-p\nu, \mathrm{H}^3(B_1, -\gamma))) \neq 0 \tag{4.3.1}$$

for some $\nu \in X(T)$.

From the proof in [And, 2.9] there exists a simple root β_1 such that

$$0 \neq \mathrm{H}^3(B, -\gamma + p\nu) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(P_{\beta_1}, \mathrm{H}^1(P_{\beta_1}/B, -\gamma + p\nu)) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(B, \mathrm{H}^1(P_{\beta_1}/B, -\gamma + p\nu)),$$

where $P_{\beta_1} \supset B$ is the standard parabolic subgroup associated to β_1. Therefore, there exists a weight μ of $\mathrm{H}^1(P_{\beta_1}/B, -\gamma + p\nu))$ with $\mathrm{H}^2(B, \mu) \neq 0$. According to [BNP2, Thm. 5.8(a)], $\mu = -i_2\beta_2 - i_3\beta_3$ for some $\beta_2, \beta_3 \in \Pi$ where $i_2, i_3 \geq 0$ and without loss of generality $i_3 = p^l$ for $l \geq 0$. On the other hand, $\mu = -\gamma + p\nu + i_1\beta_1$ for $i_1 \geq 0$ by [And, (2.8)]. Therefore,

$$\gamma = i_1\beta_1 + i_2\beta_2 + i_3\beta_3 + p\nu.$$

If any $i_j \geq p$, the p-portion can be combined with the $p\nu$ term to re-express this as

$$\gamma = i_1'\beta_1 + i_2'\beta_2 + i_3'\beta_3 + p\nu'$$

where $0 \leq i'_j \leq p-1$ for $j \in \{1,2\}$ and $0 \leq i'_3 \leq 1$. By Proposition 3.3.4, $\nu' = 0$. From Proposition 2.8.2 and Proposition 2.8.3, it follows that $\gamma = -w \cdot 0$ with $l(w) = 3$.

Lastly, we need to consider the case of type C_n with $p = 5$. In this setting, Proposition 3.3.4, which has been applied twice, might fail to hold. Then γ would need to be one of the weights listed in Remark 3.3.5. As noted there, none of those weights have the form $-w \cdot 0$ with $\ell(w) = 3$, and they all lie in a type C_4 root subsystem. By direct calculation, the theorem holds for type C_4 (or C_3). In particular, none of the weights from Remark 3.3.5 can appear in $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$. Since they do not appear in type C_4, they also cannot appear as weights of $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ for any C_n for $n \geq 4$. Hence, the claim holds. □

Remark 4.3.2. For odd primes, the conditions on the prime given in Theorem 4.3.1 are necessary and sufficient to obtain the analogue of Kostant's Theorem in positive characteristic. Specifically, one can show by direct calculation that there exist "extra" cohomology classes in $\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k)$ when $p = 3$ in types A_4, D_4, and G_2, . Such classes will further give rise to "extra" cohomology classes in types A_n and D_n when $n > 4$ and types E_n. Similarly, when $p = 3, 5$, there exist "extra" cohomology classes in type B_n ($n \geq 4$) and F_4.

4.4. **Other u-cohomology calculations:** In this section, we prove some results about $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$, $i = 0, 1$, that will be used to compute B_r-cohomology.

Proposition 4.4.1. *Let p satisfy Assumption 4.1.1.*

(a) $\dim \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)_\lambda = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \alpha,\ \alpha \in \Pi, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

(b) *Let $\lambda \in X(T)$. If $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*) \neq 0$, then $\lambda = \alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\beta \in \Phi^+$.*

(c) *As T-modules, $\mathrm{H}^1(U_1, \mathfrak{u}^*) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$.*

(d) *Let $\lambda \in X(T)$. If $\lambda \neq \alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\beta \in \Phi^+$, then*

$$\mathrm{H}^1(B/U_1, \mathrm{Hom}_{U_1}(k, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes -\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(B, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes -\lambda).$$

(e) *If $\lambda = \alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\beta \in \Phi^+$, then*

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)_\lambda \cong \mathrm{H}^1(B, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes -\lambda).$$

Proof. Part (a) follows from the fact that with our assumptions on p the $\mathfrak{u}$-socle of $\mathfrak{u}^*$ has basis $\{\phi_\alpha : \alpha \in \Pi\}$. For part (b), we recall the fact that

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*) \cong \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$$

where $\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$) are both T-modules. Since a derivation is completely determined by its values on the generators of $\mathfrak{u}$, if $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)_\lambda \neq 0$ then $\lambda = \alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\beta \in \Phi^+$.

For part (c), there exists a spectral sequence when $p \geq 3$ (cf. [FP2], [AJ], [Jan1, I.9.20]):

$$E_2^{2i,j} = S^i(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^j(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2i+j}(U_1, \mathfrak{u}^*).$$

We show that the differential $d_2 : \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*) \to (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)}$ is zero. As shown above, any weight of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$ can be expressed as $\alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\beta \in \Phi^+$. For the differential d_2 to be non-zero, there would need to be a $\gamma \in \Phi^+$ such that $\alpha + \beta = p\gamma$. It was shown in [BNP2, Prop. 3.1(A)] (under conditions weaker than Assumption 4.1.1) that this is not

possible if $\alpha \neq \beta$. However, it is straightforward to see that this is also impossible when $\alpha = \beta$, particularly as α is simple. Therefore, $\mathrm{H}^1(U_1, \mathfrak{u}^*) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$.

For parts (d) and (e), apply the LHS spectral sequence for $U_1 \trianglelefteq B$ and $\lambda \in X(T)$:

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{H}^i(B/U_1, \mathrm{H}^j(U_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes -\lambda)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+j}(B, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes -\lambda). \tag{4.4.1}$$

First observe that, if $\lambda \neq \alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\beta \in \Phi^+$, then (using part (b))

$$E_2^{0,1} = \mathrm{Hom}_{B/U_1}(\lambda, \mathrm{H}^1(U_1, \mathfrak{u}^*)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{B/U_1}(\lambda, \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)) = 0.$$

Therefore, $E_2^{1,0} \cong \mathrm{H}^1(B, \mathfrak{u} \otimes -\lambda)$ which proves (d).

Using the fact that $U/U_1 \trianglelefteq B/U_1$ with $(B/U_1)/(U/U_1) \cong T$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{i,0} &= \mathrm{H}^i(B/U_1, \mathrm{H}^0(U_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes -\lambda)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^i(U/U_1, \mathrm{H}^0(U_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes -\lambda))^T \\ &\cong [\mathrm{H}^i(U/U_1, k) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(U_1, \mathfrak{u}^*) \otimes -\lambda]^T \\ &\cong [\mathrm{H}^i(U, k)^{(1)} \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*) \otimes -\lambda]^T. \end{aligned}$$

By part (a), if $E_2^{1,0} \neq 0$, then there exists $\nu \neq 0$ with $p\nu + \gamma - \lambda = 0$ for some $\gamma \in \Pi$. Suppose that $\lambda = \alpha + \beta$ for $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\beta \in \Phi^+$. Then $\lambda = \alpha + \beta = \gamma + p\nu$. If $\alpha \neq \beta$, this cannot happen under Assumption 4.1.1 by [BNP2, Prop. 3.1(B)]. If $\alpha = \beta \in \Pi$, one can argue in a similar manner that this is impossible. Therefore, $\mathrm{H}^1(B, \mathfrak{u} \otimes -\lambda) \cong E_2^{0,1} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{B/U_1}(k, \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*) \otimes -\lambda)$ for such λ. To finish off the proof, we need to show that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$ is semisimple as B/U_1-module. Observe that, for any $\sigma \in X(T)$,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{B/U_1}(k, \sigma) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(U/U_1, \sigma)^T \cong [\mathrm{H}^1(U/U_1, k) \otimes \sigma]^T \cong [\mathrm{H}^1(U, k)^{(1)} \otimes \sigma]^T.$$

Consequently $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{B/U_1}(k, \sigma) \neq 0$ implies that $\sigma = -p^l\gamma$ where $l > 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi$. Suppose we have two one-dimensional representations in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$ which extend one another. Viewed as weights, let these be represented by $\delta_1 + \beta_1$ and $\delta_2 + \beta_2$ for $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Pi$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Phi^+$. Then $\delta_1 + \beta_1 = \delta_2 + \beta_2 + p\nu$ with $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi$ and $\nu \neq 0$. We can rule this out by using Proposition 3.3.2 (with $\alpha = \delta_1$, $\sigma_1 = \beta_1$, and $\sigma_2 = 0$).

□

Theorem 4.4.2. *Let p satisfy Assumption 4.1.1. Then*

$$\dim \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)_\lambda = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \lambda = \alpha + \beta,\ \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \alpha \neq \beta, \alpha + \beta \notin \Phi^+, \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \alpha + \beta,\ \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+, \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = 2\alpha,\ \alpha \in \Pi, \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = -s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot 0,\ \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We use induction on the rank of the root system. First one can verify the statement directly for root systems of rank less than or equal to two (i.e., $\Phi = A_1, A_1 \times A_1, A_2, B_2, G_2$) by using the restriction on the allowable weights of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$ given in part (b) of Proposition 4.4.1. Alternatively, we can also appeal to [AR, Prop. 4.3] since our assumptions on p imply $p > h$ for these small rank cases.

The next step is to show that one can reduce from $\mathfrak{u}$ to a subalgebra corresponding to a subset of simple roots J that is properly contained in Π. Let $J \subseteq \Pi$. Consider the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_J = \mathfrak{l}_J \ltimes \mathfrak{u}_J$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ where $\mathfrak{l}_J$ is the Levi subalgebra associated to J. Then one can express $\mathfrak{u} \cong \mathfrak{a}_J \ltimes \mathfrak{u}_J$. We have the LHS spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{a}_J, \mathrm{H}^j(\mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{u}^*)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+j}(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*).$$

The five term exact sequence yields

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{a}_J, \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{u}^*)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*) \to [\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{u}^*)]^{\mathfrak{a}_J} \to \tag{4.4.2}$$

Note this is an exact sequence of T-modules. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi_J$ then

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{a}_J, \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{u}^*))_\lambda \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)_\lambda \tag{4.4.3}$$

because all the weights of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{u}^*)$ are positive linear combinations of simple roots with at least one simple root in $\Pi - J$. Now the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{a}_J^* \to \mathfrak{u}^* \to \mathfrak{u}_J^* \to 0$$

yields a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{a}_J^*) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{u}^*) \to Z \to 0$$

or equivalently,

$$0 \to \mathfrak{a}_J^* \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{u}^*) \to Z \to 0,$$

where Z is a submodule of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{u}_J^*)$. Observe that any weight in Z is not in $\mathbb{Z}\Phi_J$. This means that any weight of $\mathrm{H}^j(\mathfrak{a}_J, Z)$ is not in $\mathbb{Z}\Phi_J$ for $j \geq 0$. Consequently, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi_J$,

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{a}_J, \mathfrak{a}_J^*)_\lambda \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{a}_J, \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{u}_J, \mathfrak{u}^*))_\lambda. \tag{4.4.4}$$

Combining this with (4.4.3) yields

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{a}_J, \mathfrak{a}_J^*)_\lambda \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)_\lambda \tag{4.4.5}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi_J$.

As seen in Proposition 4.4.1, every weight of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)$ is of the form $\alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\beta \in \Phi^+$. Set $\lambda = \alpha + \beta$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi_J$ where J is properly contained in Π then one can use the induction hypothesis and (4.4.5) to get the claim.

It remains to show that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*)_\lambda = 0$ when $\lambda = \alpha + \beta$ where λ is a positive linear combination with every simple root occurring and $|\Pi| \geq 3$. If this occurs, by Proposition 4.4.1, $\mathrm{H}^1(B, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes -\lambda) \neq 0$. In [AR, Prop. 4.3], a complete computation of $\mathrm{H}^1(B, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes -\lambda)$ is given under the condition $p > h$. That proof consists of two parts: a determination of those λ for which the cohomology is non-zero and then a computation of those cohomology groups. For our purposes, we only need the determination portion of that proof. In that portion, it is shown that if $\mathrm{H}^1(B, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes -\lambda) \neq 0$, then $\lambda = a\beta_1 + b\beta_2$ for simple roots β_1, β_2 and non-negative integers a, b, which contradicts our assumption that λ involves at least three simple roots, and hence completes the proof. The necessary portion of the argument in [AR] only requires $p \geq 5$ (in order to guarantee that $\langle \eta + \delta, \gamma^\vee \rangle < p$ for simple roots η, γ and a positive root δ). In particular, it holds under our Assumption 4.1.1. □

Remark 4.4.3. An alternative,non-inductive, proof of the aforementioned result can also be obtained by arguing along the lines used in [BNP2] to compute $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathfrak{u}, k)$, and again using [AR, Prop. 4.3].

5. B_r-cohomology

5.1. In this section, we compute $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in X(T)$. We recall that the first cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^1(B_1, \lambda)$ were computed for all primes and all weights $\lambda \in X(T)$ by Jantzen [Jan2]. For higher r, $\mathrm{H}^1(B_r, \lambda)$ is computed by the authors in [BNP1], and $\mathrm{H}^2(B_r, \lambda)$ is computed by the authors [BNP2] and Wright [W].

We investigate the third cohomology $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda)$ by starting out with B_1. Note that for $\lambda \in X(T)$, we may write $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p\lambda_1$ for unique weights λ_0, λ_1 with $\lambda_0 \in X_1(T)$. Then

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda) = \mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda_0 + p\lambda_1) \cong \mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda_0) \otimes p\lambda_1. \tag{5.1.1}$$

Hence, it suffices to compute $\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in X_1(T)$. To this end, we will be interested in λ of the form $\lambda = w \cdot 0 + p\nu \in X_1(T)$ with $w \in W$ and $l(w) = 3$. Given such a w, there exists a unique weight $\nu \in X(T)$ such that $\lambda = w \cdot 0 + p\nu \in X_1(T)$. Such weights ν are summarized in Lemma 7.1.1 (in the Appendix).

5.2. We can now present the computation of $\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in X_1(T)$. The weight γ_w given in the statement of the theorem is identified in Lemma 7.1.1. The precise identification of γ_w is not necessary for the proof.

Theorem 5.2.1. *Let p satisfy Assumption 4.1.1 and $\lambda \in X_1(T)$. Then as B/B_1-modules*

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda) \cong \begin{cases} \gamma_w^{(1)} & \text{if } \lambda = w \cdot 0 + p\gamma_w \text{ with } \ell(w) = 3, \\ (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes \omega_\alpha^{(1)} & \text{if } \lambda = s_\alpha \cdot 0 + p\omega_\alpha \text{ where } \alpha \in \Pi, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. From Proposition 3.5.1, we have the following isomorphisms as T/T_1-modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda) &\cong [\mathrm{H}^3(U_1, k) \otimes \lambda]^{T_1} \\ &\cong [\mathrm{H}^3(\mathfrak{u}, k) \otimes \lambda]^{T_1} \oplus \left((\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes [\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, k) \otimes \lambda]^{T_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first isomorphism holds as B/B_1-modules and the second isomorphism is obtained from a spectral sequence on which B/B_1 acts, preserving the differentials. We will argue below that these two summands cannot occur simultaneously, and hence the identification of $\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda)$ holds as a B/B_1-module.

Therefore, if $p\nu$ is a weight of $\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda)$, then, by Theorem 4.3.1 and Proposition 2.2.1, either (i) $p\nu = -w \cdot 0 + \lambda$ for some $w \in W$ with $l(w) = 3$ or (ii) $p\nu = p\sigma + \beta + \lambda$ with $\sigma \in \Phi^+$, $\beta \in \Pi$. In the first case, since $\lambda \in X_1(T)$, $\nu = \gamma_w$. In the second case, $\lambda = -\beta + p(\nu - \sigma) = s_\beta \cdot 0 + p(\nu - \sigma)$ and we must have $\nu - \sigma = \omega_\beta$.

Given $\lambda \in X_1(T)$, we consider whether it can simultaneously take form (i) and (ii). This would imply that $w \cdot 0 + p\gamma_w = -\beta + p\omega_\beta$ where $\ell(w) = 3$ and $\beta \in \Pi$. Equivalently, we would have $-w \cdot 0 = \beta + p(\gamma_w - \omega_\beta)$. By Corollary 3.3.6 (with $-w \cdot 0$ playing the role of $\alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$), this is impossible.

Lastly, it remains to check whether the weights of type (i) or (ii) can occur in multiple ways, something that would lead to doubling of the cohomological dimension. For $p \geq 5$, it is straightforward to check (or see [BNP1]) that if $-\beta_1 + p\omega_{\beta_1} = -\beta_2 + p\omega_{\beta_2}$, then $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. Similarly, suppose that $w_1 \cdot 0 + p\nu_1 = w_2 \cdot 0 + p\nu_2 \in X_1(T)$ for some w_1, w_2 with $l(w_1) = l(w_2) = 3$. Such an equation can be rewritten as $-w_1 \cdot 0 = -w_2 \cdot 0 + p(\nu_1 - \nu_2)$.

We may apply Proposition 3.3.4 (with $-w_1 \cdot 0$ playing the role of $\alpha + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ and $-w_2 \cdot 0$ playing the role of $i_1\beta_1 + i_2\beta_2 + \beta_3$) to conclude that $\nu_1 - \nu_2 = 0$ or $\nu_1 = \nu_2$, from which it follows that $w_1 = w_2$.

This application of Proposition 3.3.4 potentially fails in types C_n for $p = 5$, F_4 for $p = 7$, and G_2 for $p = 7$. However, from Remark 3.3.5, none of the potential "bad" weights have the form $-w \cdot 0$ for $\ell(w) = 3$, and so the claim follows. □

Remark 5.2.2. For type A_4, when $p = 5$, and type A_6, when $p = 7$, the structure of $\mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda)$ may be more complex. In these cases there exist pairs $w_1, w_2 \in W$, both of length at most 3, with $w_1 \cdot 0 = w_2 \cdot 0 + p\nu$ for some $\nu \in X(T)$. Following the discussion in [AJ, 6.1 - 6.3] one observes that this happens if and only if the pair w_1, w_2 appears in the same left coset of the cyclic subgroup of W generated by $s_1 s_2 ... s_n$. For example, in type A_4, let $w_1 = s_4$ and $w_2 = s_3 s_2 s_1$ then $\lambda = s_4 \cdot 0 + 5\omega_4 = s_3 s_2 s_1 \cdot 0 + 5\omega_3$. The weight λ takes both forms as given in the theorem and the two cases combine. For type A_6 there is just the pair, $w_1 = s_3 s_2 s_1, w_2 = s_4 s_5 s_6$. Note that these are the "bad" cases referred to in Proposition 3.3.4, see also Remark 3.3.7. From length considerations one can see that no such pairs occur in ranks greater than 6 and cohomological degree 3.

5.3. The preceding calculations can be used to compute $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda)$ for any r and $\lambda \in X(T)$. We first need to review the known computations of $\mathrm{H}^j(B_1, \lambda_0)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$ as a B/B_1-module where $\lambda_0 \in X_1(T)$ when p satisfies Assumption 4.1.1:

$$\mathrm{H}^0(B_1, \lambda_0) \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda_0 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \tag{5.3.1}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^1(B_1, \lambda_0) \cong \begin{cases} \omega_\alpha^{(1)} & \text{if } \lambda_0 = -\alpha + p\omega_\alpha, \alpha \in \Pi, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \tag{5.3.2}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^2(B_1, \lambda_0) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} & \text{if } \lambda_0 = 0, \\ \gamma_w^{(1)} & \text{if } \lambda_0 = w \cdot 0 + p\gamma_w, l(w) = 2, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{5.3.3}$$

We also note that more generally, for $\lambda \in X(T)$,

$$\mathrm{H}^0(B_r, \lambda) \cong \begin{cases} \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{5.3.4}$$

Lastly, we need the following observation.

Lemma 5.3.1. *Let p satisfy Assumption 4.1.1 and $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi$.*

(a) *If $\lambda = -\alpha$, then the zero weight space of $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda)$ is zero.*
(b) *If $\lambda \in \{-\alpha - p^i\beta \mid 0 \leq i \leq r-1\}$, then the zero weight space of $\mathrm{H}^4(B_r, \lambda)$ is zero.*
(c) *If $\lambda = s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot 0$ and $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+$, then the zero weight space of $\mathrm{H}^4(B_r, \lambda)$ is zero.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathrm{H}^i(B_r, \lambda) \cong \mathrm{H}^i(U_r, \lambda)^{T_r}$ and consider the spectral sequence of [Jan1, I.9.14] abutting to $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(U_r, \lambda)$ (whose differentials preserve the action of T). The only terms that can contribute to $\mathrm{H}^3(U_r, \lambda)$ have the form $\lambda \otimes (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(i)} \otimes (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(j)}$ or $\lambda \otimes \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(j)}$ for

$1 \leq i \leq r$ and $0 \leq j \leq r-1$. For $\lambda = -\alpha$, neither term contains the zero weight. Similarly the only terms that contribute to $\mathrm{H}^4(U_r, \lambda)$ are $\lambda \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(i)}$, $\lambda \otimes (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(i_1)} \otimes (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(i_2)}$, $\lambda \otimes (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(i)} \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(j)}$, $\lambda \otimes (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(i)} \otimes (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(j_1)} \otimes (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(j_2)}$, or $\lambda \otimes \Lambda^4(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(j)}$ for $1 \leq i, i_1, i_2 \leq r$, $0 \leq j \leq r-1$, and $0 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq r-1$. □

The following proposition provides a recursive algorithm to compute $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda)$.

Proposition 5.3.2. *Let p satisfy Assumption 4.1.1, $r \geq 2$, and $\lambda \in X(T)$.*

(a) *If $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, then*

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda) \cong \begin{cases} \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^i\alpha + w \cdot 0,\ l(w) = 2, \\ & \quad 1 \leq i \leq r-1, \alpha \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu + p^i w \cdot 0 - \alpha,\ l(w) = 2, \\ & \quad 1 \leq i \leq r-1, \alpha \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu + w \cdot 0,\ l(w) = 3, \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^i\beta - \alpha, \\ & \quad 1 \leq i \leq r-1, \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^l\gamma - p^i\beta - \alpha, \\ & \quad 1 \leq i < l \leq r-1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T), \\ (\mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \nu)^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - \alpha, \alpha \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) *If $\lambda_0 = 0$, then*

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda) \cong \begin{cases} \nu^{(r)} \oplus \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^i\beta - p\alpha, \\ & \quad 2 \leq i \leq r-1, \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} \oplus \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p\beta - p\alpha, \\ & \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \alpha \neq \beta, \alpha + \beta \notin \Phi^+, \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p\beta - p\alpha, \\ & \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+, \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - 2p\alpha,\ \alpha \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu + ps_\alpha s_\beta \cdot 0, \\ & \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+, \nu \in X(T), \\ \mathrm{H}^3(B_{r-1}, \lambda_1)^{(1)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the LHS spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{H}^i(B_r/B_1, \mathrm{H}^j(B_1, \lambda_0) \otimes p\lambda_1) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+j}(B_r, \lambda)$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p\lambda_1$, $\lambda_0 \in X_1(T)$ and $\lambda_1 \in X(T)$. The possible terms that can contribute to $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda)$ are $E_2^{i,j}$ where $i + j = 3$, and this can be non-zero only if $\mathrm{H}^j(B_1, \lambda_0) \neq 0$ for some $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. These cases will be analyzed using Theorem 5.2.1 and Equations (5.3.1)-(5.3.3). Note that, by Proposition 3.2.1, Proposition 3.3.2, Corollary 3.3.6, and [BNP2, Prop. 3.1(A)(B)], the four possibilities given below for λ_0 cannot happen simultaneously.

Case 1: $\lambda_0 = w \cdot 0 + p\gamma_w$, $l(w) = 2$

In this case, we have $\mathrm{H}^j(B_1, \lambda_0) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, 3$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(B_1, \lambda_0) \cong \gamma_w^{(1)}$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda) \cong E_2^{1,2} \cong \mathrm{H}^1(B_r/B_1, p(\gamma_w + \lambda_1)) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-1}, \gamma_w + \lambda_1)^{(1)}.$$

According to [BNP1, Thm. 2.8(A)], $\mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-1}, \gamma_w + \lambda_1)^{(1)} \cong \nu^{(r)}$ if $\gamma_w + \lambda_1 = p^{r-1}\nu - p^i\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Pi$, $0 \leq i \leq r-2$, otherwise it is zero. This translates to $\lambda = w \cdot 0 + p^r\nu - p^i\alpha$ where $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $1 \leq i \leq r-1$.

Case 2: $\lambda_0 = w \cdot 0 + p\gamma_w$, $l(w) = 3$

This condition implies that $\mathrm{H}^j(B_1, \lambda_0) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda) \cong E_2^{0,3} = \mathrm{H}^0(B_r/B_1, \mathrm{H}^3(B_1, w \cdot 0 + p\gamma_w) \otimes p\lambda_1) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(B_{r-1}, \gamma_w + \lambda_1)^{(1)}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Theorem 5.2.1. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{H}^0(B_{r-1}, \gamma_w + \lambda_1) \cong \begin{cases} \nu^{(r-1)} & \text{if } \gamma_w + \lambda_1 = p^{r-1}\nu, \nu \in X(T), \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma_w + \lambda_1 \notin p^{r-1}X(T). \end{cases}$$

The non-vanishing case translates to $\lambda = w \cdot 0 + p^r\nu$.

Case 3: $\lambda_0 = -\alpha + p\omega_\alpha$

We have $\mathrm{H}^j(B_1, \lambda_0) = 0$ for $j = 0, 2$ and $E_2^{2,1} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda)$. In this case we have a possible non-zero differential at the E_3-level: $d_3 : E_3^{0,3} \to E_3^{3,1}$. Note that $E_2^{0,3} = E_3^{0,3}$ and $E_2^{3,1} = E_3^{3,1}$. One can immediately conclude that (as T/T_r-modules) $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda) \cong E_2^{2,1} \oplus \ker d_3$. One of the goals will be to show that $d_3 = 0$ so that

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda) \cong E_2^{2,1} \oplus E_2^{0,3}. \tag{5.3.5}$$

In the following, we apply Theorem 5.2.1 and Proposition 4.4.1(a) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{0,3} &\cong \mathrm{H}^0(B_r/B_1, \mathrm{H}^3(B_1, \lambda_0) \otimes p\lambda_1) \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^0(B_r/B_1, (\mathfrak{u}^*)^{(1)} \otimes p(\omega_\alpha + \lambda_1)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^0(B_{r-1}, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes (\omega_\alpha + \lambda_1))^{(1)} \\ &\cong [(\mathrm{H}^0(U_{r-1}, \mathfrak{u}^*) \otimes (\omega_\alpha + \lambda_1))^{T_{r-1}}]^{(1)} \\ &\cong [(\mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*) \otimes (\omega_\alpha + \lambda_1))^{T_{r-1}}]^{(1)} \\ &\cong \begin{cases} \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p^{r-1}\nu - \beta - \omega_\alpha, \text{ for } \beta \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T), \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ &\cong \begin{cases} \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p\beta - \alpha, \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T), \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$E_2^{3,1} \cong \mathrm{H}^3(B_r/B_1, \mathrm{H}^1(B_1, \lambda_0) \otimes p\lambda_1) \cong \mathrm{H}^3(B_{r-1}, \omega_\alpha + \lambda_1)^{(1)}.$$

Now suppose that $E_2^{0,3} \neq 0$. Then $\omega_\alpha + \lambda_1 = p^{r-1}\nu - \beta$ for some $\beta \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T)$. It follows that

$$E_2^{3,1} \cong \mathrm{H}^3(B_{r-1}, \omega_\alpha + \lambda_1)^{(1)} \cong \mathrm{H}^3(B_{r-1}, -\beta)^{(1)} \otimes \nu^{(r)}.$$

By Lemma 5.3.1, $\mathrm{H}^3(B_{r-1},-\beta)^{(1)}$ does not have a zero weight space. Since the differential d_3 must preserve T/T_r weight spaces, it follows that $d_3=0$. Consequently, (5.3.5) holds.

If $E_2^{0,3}\neq 0$, then $\beta+\omega_\alpha+\lambda_1=p^{r-1}\nu$ for some $\beta\in\Pi,\nu\in X(T)$. When this occurs

$$E_2^{2,1}=\mathrm{H}^2(B_r/B_1,p(\omega_\alpha+\lambda_1))\cong \mathrm{H}^2(B_{r-1},-\beta)^{(1)}\otimes\nu^{(r)}=0$$

by [BNP2, Lemma 5.6]. Hence, under the given assumption on λ_0, if $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r,\lambda)\neq 0$, then $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r,\lambda)\cong E_2^{0,3}$ or $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r,\lambda)\cong E_2^{2,1}\cong \mathrm{H}^2(B_{r-1},\omega_\alpha+\lambda_1)^{(1)}$.

In the latter case one obtains from [BNP2, Thm. 5.7] that

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_r,\lambda)\cong\begin{cases}(\mathfrak{u}^*\otimes\nu)^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda=p^r\nu-\alpha,\alpha\in\Pi,\nu\in X(T),\\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda=p^r\nu+p^iw\cdot 0-\alpha,\ell(w)=2,\\ & \quad 1\leq i\leq r-1,\alpha\in\Pi,\nu\in X(T),\\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda=p^r\nu-p^i\beta-\alpha,\\ & \quad 2\leq i\leq r-1,\alpha,\beta\in\Pi,\nu\in X(T),\\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda=p^r\nu-p^l\gamma-p^i\beta-\alpha,\\ & \quad 1\leq i<l\leq r-1,\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Pi,\nu\in X(T),\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Combining these gives the remaining conditions on λ for non-vanishing in part (a) of the theorem and completes the proof of the theorem for the case $\lambda_0\neq 0$.

Case 4: $\lambda_0=0$

In this case, we have $\mathrm{H}^j(B_1,\lambda_0)=0$ for $j=1,3$. We have

$$E_3^{1,2}=E_2^{1,2}\cong \mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-1},\mathfrak{u}^*\otimes\lambda_1)^{(1)}$$

and

$$E_3^{3,0}=E_2^{3,0}\cong \mathrm{H}^3(B_{r-1},\lambda_1)^{(1)}.$$

We will show that (as T/T_r-modules)

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_r,\lambda)\cong E_3^{3,0}\oplus E_3^{1,2}.$$

To do so, we will show that the differentials $d_3:E_3^{0,2}\to E_3^{3,0}$ and $d_3:E_3^{1,2}\to E_3^{4,0}$ are both zero.

In the first case, we have

$$E_3^{0,2}=\mathrm{H}^0(B_r/B_1,\mathrm{H}^2(B_1,k)\otimes p\lambda_1)\cong \mathrm{H}^0(B_{r-1},\mathfrak{u}^*\otimes\lambda_1)^{(1)}.$$

As seen in Case 3, this is non-zero only if $\lambda_1+\beta=p^{r-1}\nu$ for some $\beta\in\Pi$ and $\nu\in X(T)$. In that case, $E_3^{0,2}\cong\nu^{(r)}$ and we have

$$E_3^{3,0}\cong \mathrm{H}^3(B_{r-1},-\beta+p^{r-1}\nu)^{(1)}\cong \mathrm{H}^3(B_{r-1},-\beta)^{(1)}\otimes\nu^{(r)}.$$

Lemma 5.3.1 now implies that $\mathrm{H}^3(B_{r-1},-\beta)$ has no zero weight space. Hence, the differential is the zero map.

To consider the second differential, we first need to compute $E_3^{1,2}$. For $r=1$, Theorem 4.4.2 can be used to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^1(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1) &\cong [\mathrm{H}^1(U_1, \mathfrak{u}^*) \otimes \lambda_1]^{T_1} \\
&\cong [\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}^*) \otimes \lambda_1]^{T_1} \\
&\cong \begin{cases}
\nu^{(1)} \oplus \nu^{(1)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p\nu - \alpha - \beta, \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \\
& \quad \alpha \neq \beta,\ \alpha + \beta \notin \Phi^+,\ \nu \in X(T), \\
\nu^{(1)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p\nu - \alpha - \beta, \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \\
& \quad \alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+,\ \nu \in X(T), \\
\nu^{(1)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p\nu - 2\alpha, \text{ for } \alpha \in \Pi,\ \nu \in X(T), \\
\nu^{(1)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p\nu + s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot 0, \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \\
& \quad \alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+,\ \nu \in X(T), \\
0 & \text{else.}
\end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3.6}$$

Similarly, one obtains

$$\mathrm{H}^0(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1) \cong \begin{cases} \nu^{(1)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p\nu - \alpha, \text{ for } \alpha \in \Pi,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{5.3.7}$$

One can apply the LHS spectral sequence (for $B_1 \trianglelefteq B_{r-1}$) abutting to $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(B_{r-1}, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1)$ to obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to E_1^{1,0} \to E^1 \to E_2^{0,1} \to E_2^{2,0}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\to \mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-2}, \mathrm{H}^0(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1)^{(-1)})^{(1)} \to \mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-1}, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1) \\
&\to \mathrm{H}^0(B_{r-2}, \mathrm{H}^1(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1)^{(-1)})^{(1)} \to \mathrm{H}^2(B_{r-2}, \mathrm{H}^0(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1)^{(-1)})^{(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that Assumption 4.1.1 does not allow for both $\mathrm{H}^0(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1)$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1)$ to be non-zero.

First we consider the case $\mathrm{H}^0(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1) \neq 0$. By (5.3.7), $\lambda_1 = p\kappa - \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\kappa \in X(T)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1) = 0$ one concludes

$$\mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-1}, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-2}, \mathrm{H}^0(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1)^{(-1)})^{(1)} \cong \mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-2}, \kappa)^{(1)}.$$

Generalizing (5.3.2), from [BNP1, Thm. 2.8(A)], we have that $\mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-2}, \kappa)^{(1)} \neq 0$ if and only if $\kappa = p^{r-2}\nu - p^i\beta$ for some $\beta \in \Pi$ with $0 \leq i \leq r-3$. This translates to $\lambda_1 = p^{r-1}\nu - p^{i+1}\beta - \alpha$, in which case we have $\lambda = p^r\nu - p^i\beta - p\alpha$ with $2 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $E_3^{1,2} \cong \nu^{(r)}$.

Next we consider the case $\mathrm{H}^0(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(B_1, \mathfrak{u} \otimes \lambda_1) \neq 0$. By (5.3.6), $\lambda_1 = p\kappa - \alpha - \beta$, $\lambda_1 = p\kappa - 2\alpha$, or $\lambda_1 = p\kappa + s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot 0$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi$ and $\kappa \in X(T)$. Moreover, from the above exact sequence,

$$\mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-1}, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1)^{(1)} \cong \mathrm{H}^0(B_{r-2}, \mathrm{H}^1(B_1, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1)^{(-1)})^{(1)}.$$

For the latter to be non-zero, by (5.3.6) and (5.3.4), we need $\kappa = p^{r-2}\nu$ for some $\nu \in X(T)$. Combining these with our earlier observation we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_3^{1,2} &\cong \mathrm{H}^1(B_{r-1}, \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \lambda_1)^{(1)} \\
&\cong \begin{cases}
\nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p^{r-1}\nu - p^i\beta - \alpha \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+, \\
& \quad 1 \leq i \leq r-2, \nu \in X(T), \\
\nu^{(r)} \oplus \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p^{r-1}\nu - \alpha - \beta \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \alpha \neq \beta, \\
& \quad \alpha + \beta \notin \Phi^+, \nu \in X(T), \\
\nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p^{r-1}\nu - \alpha - \beta \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+, \\
& \quad \nu \in X(T), \\
\nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p^{r-1}\nu - 2\alpha \text{ for } \alpha \in \Pi,\ \nu \in X(T), \\
\nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = p^{r-1}\nu + s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot 0 \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \Pi, \alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+, \\
& \quad \nu \in X(T), \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3.8}
$$

Now it follows from Lemma 5.3.1 that at least one of the terms $E_3^{1,2}$ and $E_3^{4,0}$ vanishes. Hence $d_3 : E_3^{1,2} \to E_3^{4,0}$ is the zero map and $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda) = E_3^{1,2} \oplus E_3^{3,0}$.

Finally, we consider whether $E_3^{1,2}$ and $E_3^{3,0}$ can simultaneously be non-zero. Suppose that $E_3^{1,2} \neq 0$. Then $\lambda = p\lambda_1$ for one of the weights given in (5.3.8). Note that in all (non-zero) cases $\lambda_1 \notin pX(T)$. On the other hand, for $\lambda = p\lambda_1$, $E_3^{3,0} \cong \mathrm{H}^3(B_{r-1}, \lambda_1)^{(1)}$. Inductively, applying the theorem to $r-1$, $E_3^{3,0}$ can be non-zero only for those weights listed in part (a) of the theorem. Comparing lists, we see that $E_3^{1,2}$ and $E_3^{3,0}$ are both non-zero if and only if $\lambda_1 = p^r\nu - p^i\beta - \alpha$ with $1 \leq i \leq r-2$ (necessarily requiring $r \geq 3$). In this case $E_3^{3,0} = E_3^{1,2} = \nu^{(r)}$. The statement of the theorem in the $\lambda_0 = 0$ case follows from our above analysis. □

We now state the results of Proposition 5.3.2 in closed form.

Theorem 5.3.3. *Let p satisfy Assumption 4.1.1, $r \geq 2$, and $\lambda \in X(T)$.*

$$\mathrm{H}^3(B_r,\lambda) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \nu)^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^l\alpha, \\ & \quad 0 \leq l \leq r-1,\ \alpha \in \Pi,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu + p^l w\cdot 0,\ l(w)=3, \\ & \quad 0 \leq l \leq r-1,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^m\alpha + p^l w\cdot 0,\ l(w)=2, \\ & \quad 0 \leq l < m \leq r-1,\ \alpha \in \Pi,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu + p^m w\cdot 0 - p^l\alpha,\ l(w)=2, \\ & \quad 0 \leq l < m \leq r-1,\ \alpha \in \Pi,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^l\beta - \alpha, \\ & \quad 1 \leq l \leq r-1,\ \alpha,\beta \in \Pi,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} \oplus \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^m\beta - p^l\alpha, \\ & \quad 1 \leq l < m \leq r-1,\ \alpha,\beta \in \Pi,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^n\gamma - p^m\beta - p^l\alpha, \\ & \quad 0 \leq l < m < n \leq r-1,\ \alpha,\beta,\gamma \in \Pi,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} \oplus \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^l(\alpha+\beta), \\ & \quad 1 \leq l \leq r-1,\ \alpha,\beta \in \Pi,\ \alpha \neq \beta,\ \alpha+\beta \notin \Phi^+,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - 2p^l\alpha, \\ & \quad 1 \leq l \leq r-1,\ \alpha \in \Pi, \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu - p^l(\alpha+\beta), \\ & \quad 1 \leq l \leq r-1,\ \alpha,\beta \in \Pi,\ \alpha+\beta \in \Phi^+,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ \nu^{(r)} & \text{if } \lambda = p^r\nu + p^l s_\alpha s_\beta \cdot 0, \\ & \quad 1 \leq l \leq r-1,\ \alpha,\beta \in \Pi,\ \alpha+\beta \in \Phi^+,\ \nu \in X(T), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

5.4. **B-cohomology:** From [CPS, Cor. 7.2], we have $\mathrm{H}^3(B,\lambda) \cong \varprojlim \mathrm{H}^3(B_r,\lambda)$. If there is $\lambda \in X(T)$ with $\mathrm{H}^3(B,\lambda) \neq 0$, then there exists $s > 0$ such that the restriction map $\mathrm{H}^3(B,\lambda) \to \mathrm{H}^3(B_r,\lambda)$ is non-zero for all $r \geq s$. In particular, we must have $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r,\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $r \geq s$. From Theorem 5.3.3, one can readily determine those λ for which $\mathrm{H}^3(B,\lambda) \neq 0$ along with the dimensions of these groups. These are given in Theorem 5.4.1. Since B acts trivially on $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(B,\lambda)$, this can then be used to compute $\mathrm{H}^3(B,\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in X(T)$ satisfying Assumption 4.1.1. This recovers and extends the work of Andersen and Rian [AR, Thm. 5.2] who computed these groups for $p > h$.

Theorem 5.4.1. *Let p satisfy Assumption 4.1.1 and $\lambda \in X(T)$. Then*

$$\dim \mathrm{H}^3(B,\lambda) \cong \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = p^l w\cdot 0,\ l(w)=3,\ l\geq 0,\\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = -p^m\alpha + p^l w\cdot 0,\ l(w)=2,\ m>l\geq 0,\ \alpha\in\Pi,\\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = p^m w\cdot 0 - p^l\alpha,\ l(w)=2,\ m>l\geq 0,\ \alpha\in\Pi,\\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = -p^l\beta-\alpha,\ l\geq 1,\ \alpha,\beta\in\Pi,\\ 2 & \text{if } \lambda = -p^m\beta - p^l\alpha,\ m>l\geq 1,\ \alpha,\beta\in\Pi,\\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = -p^n\gamma - p^m\beta - p^l\alpha,\ n>m>l\geq 0,\ \alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Pi,\\ 2 & \text{if } \lambda = -p^l(\alpha+\beta),\ l\geq 1,\ \alpha,\beta\in\Pi,\ \alpha\neq\beta,\ \alpha+\beta\notin\Phi^+,\\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = -p^l(\alpha+\beta),\ l\geq 1,\ \alpha,\beta\in\Pi,\ \alpha+\beta\in\Phi^+,\\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = -2p^l\alpha,\ l\geq 1,\ \alpha\in\Pi,\\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = p^l s_\alpha s_\beta\cdot 0,\ l\geq 1,\ \alpha,\beta\in\Pi,\ \alpha+\beta\in\Phi^+,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

6. G_r-cohomology

6.1. The computation of B_r-cohomology can now be used to determine the G_r-cohomology of induced modules $H^0(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda\in X(T)_+$. For $i=1,2$, one has the isomorphism [Jan1, II.12.2], [BNP2, Thm. 6.1]

$$\mathrm{H}^i(G_r, H^0(\lambda))^{(-r)} \simeq \mathrm{ind}_B^G(\mathrm{H}^i(B_r,\lambda)^{(-r)})$$

for any $\lambda\in X(T)_+$. This isomorphism holds independently of the prime and was used in [BNP1, BNP2] to give explicit descriptions of $\mathrm{H}^i(G_r,H^0(\lambda))$ for all primes. The following theorem uses the calculations done by the authors in [BNP2] and Wright [W] to show that this isomorphism can be extended further to degree three for good primes. Recall that a prime p is good if p does not divide any coefficient of a root when expressed as a sum of simple roots.

Theorem 6.1.1. *Let $\lambda\in X(T)_+$.*

(a) *Let p be a good prime. Then*

$$\mathrm{H}^3(G_r,H^0(\lambda))^{(-r)} \simeq \mathrm{ind}_B^G(\mathrm{H}^3(B_r,\lambda)^{(-r)}).$$

(b) *Let p satisfy Assumption 4.1.1. Then $\mathrm{H}^3(G_r,H^0(\lambda))^{(-r)}$ has a good filtration.*

Proof. Consider the spectral sequence (cf. [Jan1, II.12.2])

$$E_2^{i,j} = R^i\,\mathrm{ind}_B^G\left(\mathrm{H}^j(B_r,\lambda)^{(-r)}\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+j}(G_r,\mathrm{ind}_B^G\lambda)^{(-r)} = \mathrm{H}^{i+j}(G_r,H^0(\lambda))^{(-r)}.$$

We would like to show that

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{i,0} &= R^i\,\mathrm{ind}_B^G\left(\mathrm{Hom}_{B_r}(k,\lambda)^{(-r)}\right),\\ E_2^{i,1} &= R^i\,\mathrm{ind}_B^G\left(\mathrm{H}^1(B_r,\lambda)^{(-r)}\right), \text{ and}\\ E_2^{i,2} &= R^i\,\mathrm{ind}_B^G\left(\mathrm{H}^2(B_r,\lambda)^{(-r)}\right)\end{aligned}$$

vanish for all $i>0$. This would imply that $E^3\cong E_2^{0,3}$.

In the proof of [BNP2, Thm. 6.1], it was shown that $E_2^{i,0} = 0$ and $E_2^{i,1} = 0$ for all $\lambda \in X(T)_+$ and all primes p. For $E_2^{i,2}$, we need to consider $\mathrm{H}^2(B_r, \lambda)^{(-r)}$ which was computed in [BNP2, Thm. 5.3, 5.7] for $p \geq 3$ and [W] for $p = 2$.

A careful analysis of these results shows that, as a B-module, and for a dominant weight λ, $\mathrm{H}^2(B_r, \lambda)^{(-r)}$ always has a B-filtration with factors of the form S where either

(i) S is one-dimensional of weight μ with $\langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq -1$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi$, or
(ii) $S = \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes \mu$ where μ satisfies (i).

In all the cases $R^i \mathrm{ind}_B^G S = 0$ for $i > 0$ using [Jan1, II.5.4] and [KLT, Thm. 2] (where the good prime requirement is needed). Therefore, $E_2^{i,2} = 0$ for $i > 0$. This proves part (a).

For a dominant weight λ, Theorem 5.3.3 shows that $\mathrm{H}^3(B_r, \lambda)^{(-r)}$ also has a B-filtration whose factors satisfy the same conditions (i) or (ii). Part (b) now follows from [KLT, Thm. 7]. □

6.2. **An application to $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$-cohomology:** Let $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the finite Chevalley group obtained from G by taking the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points, and $kG(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be its group algebra. With Theorem 5.3.3 we can extend the results given in [BBDNPPW, Thm. 4.3.2]. The latter theorem required $p > h$, a condition which was needed to guarantee that $\dim \mathrm{H}^3(B, \lambda) \leq 2$ for any weight λ. From Theorem 5.3.3 this dimension condition holds under Assumption 4.1.1.

Theorem 6.2.1. *Suppose p satisfies Assumption 4.1.1. Then there exists a constant $D(\Phi)$, depending on Φ, such that if $r \geq D(\Phi)$ and if $q = p^r$, then, for each finite-dimensional $kG(\mathbb{F}_q)$-module V, one has*

$$\dim \mathrm{H}^3(G(\mathbb{F}_q), V) \leq 2 \cdot \dim V.$$

7. Appendix

7.1. For each $w \in W$, there exists a unique weight γ_w such that $w \cdot 0 + p\gamma_w$ lies in the restricted region $X_1(T)$. The lemma below provides an identification of all such weights γ_w when $\ell(w) = 3$ for $p \geq 3$.

We first introduce some notation. For two simple roots α, β, we write $\alpha \sim \beta$ for adjacent roots and $\alpha \nsim \beta$ for non-ajacent roots. Given simple roots α and β, if there exists a third simple root γ with $\alpha \sim \gamma$ and $\gamma \sim \beta$ (i.e., we have a subgraph of the Dynkin diagram of the form $\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma \leftrightarrow \beta$ up to a flip), we write $\alpha \approx \beta$. Furthermore, we write $\omega_{\alpha,\beta}$ for ω_γ.

Lemma 7.1.1. *Let $p \geq 3$. For $w = s_{\alpha_i} s_{\alpha_j} s_{\alpha_k} \in W$ with $\ell(w) = 3$, we define γ_w as follows. Then $w \cdot 0 + p\gamma_w \in X_1(T)$.*

(I) *Suppose $k = i$ and $\alpha_i \sim \alpha_j$. Then*

$$\gamma_w = \omega_i + \omega_j$$

except in the following cases, where we define

$$\gamma_w = \begin{cases} \omega_{n-2}+\omega_{n-1}-\omega_n & \text{if } p=3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-2}}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}s_{\alpha_{n-2}}, \\ \omega_{n-1} & \text{if } p\geq 5,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}}s_{\alpha_n}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \\ \omega_{n-1}-\omega_{n-2} & \text{if } p=3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}}s_{\alpha_n}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \\ \omega_n & \text{if } p\geq 5,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}s_{\alpha_n}, \\ 2\omega_n & \text{if } p=3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}s_{\alpha_n}, \\ \omega_{n-1} & \text{if } p\geq 5,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}}s_{\alpha_n}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \\ 2\omega_{n-1}-\omega_{n-2} & \text{if } p=3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}}s_{\alpha_n}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \\ \omega_n & \text{if } p\geq 5,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}s_{\alpha_n}, \\ \omega_n-\omega_{n-2} & \text{if } p=3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}s_{\alpha_n}, \\ \omega_1+\omega_2-\omega_3 & \text{if } p=3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_1}, \\ \omega_2 & \text{if } p\geq 5,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_2}, \\ \omega_2-\omega_1-\omega_4 & \text{if } p=3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_2}, \\ \omega_3+\omega_2-\omega_4 & \text{if } p=3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3}, \\ \omega_1 & \text{if } p\geq 7,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } G_2, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_1}, \\ 2\omega_1 & \text{if } p=3,5,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } G_2, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_1}, \\ \omega_2 & \text{if } p\geq 5,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } G_2, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}, \\ 2\omega_2-\omega_1 & \text{if } p=3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } G_2, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose from now on that α_i, α_j, and α_k are distinct.

(II) *Suppose none of the simple roots α_i, α_j, and α_k are adjacent to each other. Then*

$$\gamma_w = \omega_i + \omega_j + \omega_k$$

except in the following $p=3$ cases, where we define

$$\gamma_w = \begin{cases} \omega_n+\omega_{n-2}+\omega_k+\omega_{n-1} & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n}s_{\alpha_{n-2}}s_{\alpha_k} \\ & \quad \text{with } k\leq n-4, \\ \omega_n+\omega_{n-1}+\omega_{n-3}-\omega_{n-2} & \text{if } \Phi \text{ of type } D_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n}s_{\alpha_{n-1}}s_{\alpha_{n-3}}, \\ \omega_2+\omega_3+\omega_5-\omega_4 & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } E_n \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_5}. \end{cases}$$

(III) *Suppose that precisely one pair of simple roots from α_i, α_j, and α_k are adjacent. By rewriting w if necessary, we may assume that $\alpha_i \sim \alpha_j$, $\alpha_i \nsim \alpha_k$, and $\alpha_j \nsim \alpha_k$. Then*

$$\gamma_w = \omega_i + \omega_k$$

unless $p=3$ and $\alpha_i \approx \alpha_k$, in which case

$$\gamma_w = \omega_i + \omega_k - \omega_{i,k}$$

except in the following cases, where we define

$$\gamma_w = \begin{cases} 2\omega_n + \omega_k & \text{if } p = 3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n} s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_k} \\ & \quad \text{with } k \leq n-3, \\ 2\omega_{n-1} + \omega_k - \omega_{n-2} & \text{if } p = 3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_n} s_{\alpha_k} \\ & \quad \text{with } k \leq n-3, \\ \omega_1 + \omega_4 - \omega_3 & \text{if } p = 3,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_4}, \\ \omega_2 + \omega_4 - \omega_3 & \text{if } p = 5,\ \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_4}. \end{cases}$$

(IV) *Suppose $\alpha_i \sim \alpha_j$ and $\alpha_j \sim \alpha_k$ (i.e., there is a subgraph of the form $\alpha_i \leftrightarrow \alpha_j \leftrightarrow \alpha_k$). Then*

$$\gamma_w = \begin{cases} \omega_i & \text{if } p \geq 5, \\ 2\omega_i - \sum_{\alpha_\ell \sim \alpha_i, \ell \neq j} \omega_\ell & \text{if } p = 3, \end{cases}$$

except in the following cases, where we define

$$\gamma_w = \begin{cases} \omega_{n-1} - \omega_n & \text{if } p \geq 5, \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_{n-3}}, \\ 2\omega_{n-1} - 2\omega_n & \text{if } p = 3, \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_{n-3}}, \\ 2\omega_n & \text{if } p \geq 5, \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n} s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_{n-2}}, \\ 2\omega_n - \omega_{n-1} & \text{if } p = 3, \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n} s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_{n-2}}, \\ 2\omega_2 - \omega_1 - \omega_3 & \text{if } p = 3, \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_4}. \end{cases}$$

(V) *Suppose $\alpha_i \sim \alpha_j$ and $\alpha_i \sim \alpha_k$ (i.e., there is a subgraph of the form $\alpha_j \leftrightarrow \alpha_i \leftrightarrow \alpha_k$). Then*

$$\gamma_w = \begin{cases} \omega_i & \text{if } p \geq 5, \\ 2\omega_i - \sum_{\alpha_\ell \sim \alpha_i, \ell \neq j,k} \omega_\ell & \text{if } p = 3, \end{cases}$$

except in the following cases, where we define

$$\gamma_w = \begin{cases} \omega_{n-1} - \omega_n & \text{if } p = 5, \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_n}, \\ 2\omega_{n-1} - 2\omega_n & \text{if } p = 3, \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_n}, \\ 2\omega_{n-1} & \text{if } p = 5, \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_n}, \\ 2\omega_{n-1} - \omega_n & \text{if } p = 3, \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_n}, \\ \omega_2 - \omega_3 & \text{if } p = 5, \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3}, \\ 2\omega_2 - 2\omega_3 & \text{if } p = 3, \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3}, \\ 2\omega_3 & \text{if } p = 5, \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_4} s_{\alpha_2}, \\ 2\omega_3 - \omega_2 & \text{if } p = 3, \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_4} s_{\alpha_2}. \end{cases}$$

(VI) *Suppose $\alpha_i \sim \alpha_k$ and $\alpha_j \sim \alpha_k$ (i.e., there is a subgraph of the form $\alpha_i \leftrightarrow \alpha_k \leftrightarrow \alpha_j$). Then*

$$\gamma_w = \omega_i + \omega_j$$

except in the following cases when $p = 3$, where we define

$$\gamma_w = \begin{cases} \omega_{n-1} + \omega_{n-3} - \omega_n & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_{n-1}} s_{\alpha_{n-3}} s_{\alpha_{n-2}}, \\ 2\omega_n + \omega_{n-2} - \omega_{n-1} & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \\ \omega_n + \omega_{n-2} - \omega_{n-1} & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_n} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \\ \omega_1 + \omega_3 - \omega_2 - \omega_4 & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4 \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_2}, \\ \omega_2 + \omega_4 - \omega_3 & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4 \text{ and } w = s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_4} s_{\alpha_3}. \end{cases}$$

References

[And] H. H. Andersen, Extensions of modules for algebraic groups, *American J. Math.*, 106, (1984), 498–504.

[AJ] H. H. Andersen and J. C. Jantzen, Cohomology of induced representations for algebraic groups, *Math. Ann.*, 269, (1984), 487–525.

[AR] H. H. Andersen and T. Rian, B-Cohomology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 209, no. 2, (2007), 537–549.

[BBDNPPW] C. P. Bendel, B. D. Boe, C. M. Drupieski, D. K. Nakano, B. J. Parshall, C. Pillen, C. B. Wright, Bounding the dimensions of rational cohomology groups, to appear in *Current Developments and Retrospectives in Lie Theory*, Springer-Verlag.

[BC] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, *Handbook on Magma Functions*, Sydney University, 1996.

[BCP] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The Magma Algebra System I: The User Language, *J. Symbolic Computation*, 3/4, no. 24, (1997), 235–265.

[BNP1] C. P. Bendel, D. K. Nakano, and C. Pillen, Extensions for Frobenius kernels, *J. Algebra*, 272, (2004), 476–511.

[BNP2] C. P. Bendel, D. K. Nakano, and C. Pillen, Second cohomology groups for Frobenius kernels and related structures, *Adv. Math.* 209, (2007), 162–197.

[CPS] E. Cline, B. J. Parshall, L. Scott, Cohomology, hyperalgebras, and representations, *J. Algebra*, 63, (1980), 98–123.

[FP1] E. M. Friedlander and B. J. Parshall, On the cohomology of algebraic and related finite groups, *Invent. Math.*, 74, (1983), 85-117.

[FP2] E. M. Friedlander and B. J. Parshall, Cohomology of infinitesimal and discrete groups, *Math. Ann.*, 273, (1986), 353-374.

[Jan1] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, Second Edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 107, AMS, Providence, RI, 2003.

[Jan2] J. C. Jantzen, First cohomology groups for classical Lie algebras, *Progress in Mathematics*, 95, Birkhäuser, 1991, 289-315.

[Kos] B. Kostant, Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel-Weil theorem, *Ann. Math.*, 74, (1961), 329-387.

[KLT] S. Kumar, N. Lauritzen, J. Thomsen, Frobenius splitting of cotangent bundles of flag varieties, *Invent. Math.*, 136, (1999), 603-621.

[N] N. Ngo, Cohomology for Frobenius kernels of SL_2, *J. Algebra*, 396, (2013), 39-60.

[OHal] J. O'Halloran, A vanishing theorem for the cohomology of Borel subgroups, *Comm. Algebra*, 11, (1983), 1603-1606.

[PT] P. Polo and J. Tilouine, Berstein-Gelfand-Gelfand complexes and cohomology of nilpotent groups over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for representations with p-small weights, *Astérisque*, 280, (2002), 97-135.

[SFB1] A. Suslin, E.M. Friedlander, C.P. Bendel, Infinitesimal one-parameter subgroups and cohomology, *Journal of the AMS*, 10, (1997), no. 3, 693-728.

[SFB2] A. Suslin, E.M. Friedlander, C.P. Bendel, Support varieties for infinitesimal group schemes, *Journal of the AMS*, 10, (1997), no. 3, 729–759.

[UGA] University of Georgia VIGRE Algebra Group, On Kostant's theorem for Lie algebra cohomology, *Cont. Math.*, 478, (2009), 39–60.

[W] C.B. Wright, Second cohomology for algebraic groups and their Frobenius kernels, *J. Algebra*, 330, (2011), 60-75.

Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of Wisconsin-Stout, Menomonie, WI 54751, USA
E-mail address: bendelc@uwstout.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA
E-mail address: nakano@math.uga.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36688, USA
E-mail address: pillen@southalabama.edu